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#### Abstract

In this appendix we provide proofs for the theorems and lemmas in the paper "Personalized Federated Learning with Moreau Envelopes", as well as additional experimental settings and results.


## A Proof of the Results

In this section, we first provide some existing results useful for following proofs. We then present the proofs of Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Theorem 1, and Theorem 2,

## A. 1 Review of useful existing results

Proposition 2. [1] Theorems 2.1.5 and 2.1.10] If a function $F_{i}(\cdot)$ is $L_{F}$-smooth and $\mu_{F}$-strongly convex, $\forall w, w^{\prime}$, we have the following useful inequalities, in respective order,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)-\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{2} & \leq 2 L_{F}\left(F_{i}(w)-F_{i}\left(w^{\prime}\right)-\left\langle\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{\prime}\right), w-w^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \\
\mu_{F}\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\| & \leq\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)-\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w^{*}$ is the solution to problem $\min _{w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} F_{i}(w)$, i.e., $\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)=0$.
Proposition 3. For any vector $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, i=1, \ldots, M$, by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{M} x_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq M \sum_{i=1}^{M}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}
$$

## A. 2 Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. We first prove case (a). Let $h_{i}\left(\theta_{i} ; w_{i, r}^{t}\right):=f_{i}\left(\theta_{i}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\|\theta_{i}-w_{i, r}^{t}\right\|^{2}$. Then $h_{i}\left(\theta_{i} ; w_{i, r}^{t}\right)$ is $(\lambda+\mu)$-strongly convex with its unique solution $\hat{\theta}_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)$. Then, by Proposition 2 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)-\hat{\theta}_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)\right\|^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}\left\|\nabla h_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i} ; w_{i, r}^{t}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}\left(\left\|\nabla h_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i} ; w_{i, r}^{t}\right)-\nabla \tilde{h}_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i} ; w_{i, r}^{t}, \mathcal{D}_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \tilde{h}_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i} ; w_{i, r}^{t}, \mathcal{D}_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}\left(\left\|\nabla \tilde{f}_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i} ; \mathcal{D}_{i}\right)-\nabla f_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}+\nu\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|^{2}}\left\|\sum_{\xi_{i} \in \mathcal{D}_{i}} \nabla \tilde{f}_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i} ; \xi_{i}\right)-\nabla f_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}+\nu\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality is by Proposition 3. Taking expectation to both sides, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)-\hat{\theta}_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] & =\frac{2}{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|^{2}} \sum_{\xi_{i} \in \mathcal{D}_{i}} \mathbb{E}_{\xi_{i}}\left[\left\|\nabla \tilde{f}_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i} ; \xi_{i}\right)-\nabla f_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\nu\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}\left(\frac{\gamma_{f}^{2}}{|\mathcal{D}|}+\nu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first equality is due to $\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{M} X_{i}-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]\right\|^{2}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{i}-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]\right\|\right]^{2}$ with $M$ independent random variables $X_{i}$ and the unbiased estimate $\mathbb{E}\left[\nabla \tilde{f}_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i} ; \xi_{i}\right)\right]=\nabla f_{i}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i}\right)$, and the last inequality is due to Assumption 2 .
The proof of case (b) follows similarly, considering that $h_{i}\left(\theta_{i} ; w_{i, r}^{t}\right)$ is $(\lambda-L)$-strongly convex.

## A. 3 Proof of Lemma 2

Proof. We first prove case (a).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)\right\|^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 2\left(\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)-\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 4 L_{F}\left(F(w)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right)+\frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first and the second inequalities are due to Propositions 3 and 2, respectively.
We next prove case (b):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)-\nabla F(w)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\lambda\left(w-\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)\right)-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda\left(w-\hat{\theta}_{j}(w)\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\nabla f_{i}\left(\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)\right)-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla f_{j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{j}(w)\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =2\left\|\nabla f_{i}\left(\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)\right)-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla f_{j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)\right)\right\|^{2}+2\left\|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla f_{j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)\right)-\nabla f_{j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{j}(w)\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality is due to the first-order condition $\nabla f_{i}\left(\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)\right)-\lambda\left(w-\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)\right)=0$, and the last one is due to Proposition 3 . Taking the average over the number of clients, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)-\nabla F(w)\right\|^{2} & \leq 2 \sigma_{f}^{2}+\frac{2}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla f_{j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)\right)-\nabla f_{j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{j}(w)\right)\right\|^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& \leq 2 \sigma_{f}^{2}+\frac{2 L^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)-\hat{\theta}_{j}(w)\right\|^{2}  \tag{10}\\
& \leq 2 \sigma_{f}^{2}+\frac{2 L^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} 2\left(\left\|\hat{\theta}_{i}(w)-w\right\|^{2}+\left\|\hat{\theta}_{j}(w)-w\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& \leq 2 \sigma_{f}^{2}+\frac{2 L^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{2}{\lambda^{2}}\left(\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla F_{j}(w)\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& =2 \sigma_{f}^{2}+\frac{8 L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)\right\|^{2} \\
& =2 \sigma_{f}^{2}+\frac{8 L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)-\nabla F(w)\right\|^{2}+\|\nabla F(w)\|^{2}\right] \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where (9) is due to Assumption 3 and Proposition 3, which is also used for (11), (10) is due to $L$-smoothness of $f_{i}(\cdot), \boxed{12)}$ is due to Proposition $1,(13)$ is by the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|X\|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}[\| X-$ $\left.\mathbb{E}[X] \|^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}[\|X\|]^{2}$ for any vector of random variable $X$. Finally, by re-arranging the terms of (13), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}(w)-\nabla F(w)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \sigma_{f}^{2}+\frac{8 L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}}\|\nabla F(w)\|^{2}
$$

## A. 4 Proof of Theorem 1

We first define additional notations for the ease of analysis. We next provide supporting lemmas, and finally we will combine them to complete the proof of Theorem 1 .

## A.4.1 Additional notations

We re-write the local update as follows

$$
w_{i, r+1}^{t}=w_{i, r}^{t}-\eta \underbrace{\lambda\left(w_{i, r}^{t}-\tilde{\theta}_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)\right)}_{=: g_{i, r}^{t}}
$$

which implies

$$
\eta \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} g_{i, r}^{t}=\sum_{r=0}^{R-1}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}-w_{i, r+1}^{t}\right)=w_{i, 0}^{t}-w_{i, R}^{t}=w_{t}-w_{i, R}^{t}
$$

where $g_{i, r}^{t}$ can be considered as the biased estimate of $\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)$ since $\mathbb{E}\left[g_{i, r}^{t}\right] \neq \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)$. We also re-write the global update as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{t+1} & =(1-\beta) w_{t}+\frac{\beta}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} w_{i, R}^{t} \\
& =w_{t}-\frac{\beta}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}}\left(w_{t}-w_{i, R}^{t}\right) \\
& =w_{t}-\underbrace{\eta \beta R}_{=: \tilde{\eta}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{S R} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} g_{i, r}^{t}}_{=: g_{t}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\eta}$ and $g_{t}$ can be interpreted as the step size and approximate stochastic gradient, respectively, of the global update.

## A.4.2 Supporting lemmas

Lemma 3 (One-step global update). Let Assumption 7 b) hold. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{t+1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{t}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right]-\tilde{\eta}\left(2-6 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(w_{t}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{\tilde{\eta}\left(3 \tilde{\eta}+2 / \mu_{F}\right)}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{i, r}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+3 \tilde{\eta}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{i, r}^{N, R}$ is used as an alternative for $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1}$.
Proof. Denote the expectation conditioning on all randomness prior to round $t$ by $\mathbb{E}_{t}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\left\|w_{t+1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right] & =\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\left\|w_{t}-\tilde{\eta} g_{t}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& =\left\|w_{t}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \tilde{\eta} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\left\langle g_{t}, w_{t}-w^{*}\right\rangle\right]+\tilde{\eta}^{2} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\left\|g_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

We first take expectation of the second term of (14) w.r.t client sampling

$$
\begin{align*}
-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\left\langle g_{t}, w_{t}-w^{*}\right\rangle\right] & =-\left\langle\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[g_{t}\right], w_{t}-w^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{1}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R}\left(\left\langle g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right), w_{t}-w^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right), w_{t}-w^{*}\right\rangle\right), \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second equality is obtained by having $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[g_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\frac{1}{S R} \sum_{i, r}^{\mathcal{S}^{t}, R} g_{i, r}^{t}\right]=$ $\frac{1}{S R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R} g_{i, r}^{t} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\mathbb{I}_{i \in S_{t}}\right]=\frac{1}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R} g_{i, r}^{t}$, where $\mathbb{I}_{A}$ is the indicator function of an event $A$ and thus $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\mathbb{I}_{i \in S_{t}}\right]=S / N$ due to uniform sampling. We then bound two terms of (15) as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right), w_{t}-w^{*}\right\rangle \leq F\left(w^{*}\right)-F\left(w_{t}\right)-\frac{\mu_{F}}{2}\left\|w_{t}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}  \tag{16}\\
-\frac{2}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R}\left\langle g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right), w_{t}-w^{*}\right\rangle \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the first and second inequalities are due to $\mu_{F}$-strongly convex $F_{i}(\cdot)$ and the Peter Paul inequality, respectively.
We next take expectation of the last term of (14) w.r.t client sampling

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\left\|g_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left\|\frac{1}{S R} \sum_{i, r}^{\mathcal{S}^{t}, R} g_{i, r}^{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 3 \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{S R} \sum_{i, r}^{\mathcal{S}^{t}, R} g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{3}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R}\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+3 \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left\|\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+6 L_{F}\left(F\left(w_{t}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right), \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality is by Proposition 3, and the second inequality is by Proposition 2 and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{S R} \sum_{i, r}^{\mathcal{S}^{t}, R} g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] & \leq \frac{1}{S R} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\sum_{i, r}^{\mathcal{S}^{t}, R}\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{S R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R}\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\mathbb{I}_{i \in S_{t}}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R}\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By substituting (16), (17), and (18) into (14), and take expectation with all history, we finish the proof.

Lemma 4 (Bounded diversity of $F_{i}$ w.r.t client sampling).

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left\|\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{N / S-1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

Proof. We use similar proof arguments in [2, Lemma 5] as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left\|\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{S^{2}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{I}_{i \in S_{t}}\left(\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{S^{2}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\mathbb{I}_{i \in S_{t}}\right]\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad+\sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\mathbb{I}_{i \in S_{t}} \mathbb{I}_{j \in S_{t}}\right]\left\langle\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right), \nabla F_{j}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{S N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{S-1}{S N(N-1)}\left\langle\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right), \nabla F_{j}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{S N}\left(1-\frac{S-1}{N-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{N / S-1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the third equality is due to $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\mathbb{I}_{i \in S_{t}}\right]=\mathbb{P}\left(i \in S_{t}\right)=\frac{S}{N}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}\left[\mathbb{I}_{i \in S_{t}} \mathbb{I}_{j \in S_{t}}\right]=$ $\mathbb{P}\left(i, j \in S_{t}\right)=\frac{S(S-1)}{N(N-1)}$ for all $i \neq j$, and the fourth equality is by $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+$ $\sum_{i \neq j}\left\langle\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right), \nabla F_{j}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\rangle=0$.

Lemma 5 (Bounded client drift error). If $\tilde{\eta} \leq \frac{\beta}{2 L_{F}} \Leftrightarrow \eta \leq \frac{1}{2 R L_{F}}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \leq 2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}+\frac{16 L_{F}^{2} \tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}}\left(3 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}}{R}\right)
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] & \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq 2\left(\lambda^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)-\hat{\theta}_{i}\left(w_{i, r}^{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+L_{F}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{i, r}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]\right) \\
& \leq 2\left(\lambda^{2} \delta^{2}+L_{F}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{i, r}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first and second inequalities are due to Propositions 3 and 2 , respectively. We next bound the drift of local update of client $i$ from global model $\left\|w_{i, r}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} & {\left[\left\|w_{i, r}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{i, r-1}^{t}-w_{t}-\eta g_{i, r-1}^{t}\right\|^{2}\right] } \\
\leq & 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{i, r-1}^{t}-w_{t}-\eta \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+\eta^{2}\left\|g_{i, r-1}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
\leq & 2\left(1+\frac{1}{2 R}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{i, r-1}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]+2(1+2 R) \eta^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& +4 \eta^{2}\left(\lambda^{2} \delta^{2}+L_{F}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{i, r-1}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]\right) \\
= & 2\left(1+\frac{1}{2 R}+2 \eta^{2} L_{F}^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{i, r-1}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]+2(1+2 R) \eta^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+4 \eta^{2} \lambda^{2} \delta^{2} \\
\leq & 2\left(1+\frac{1}{R}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{i, r-1}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]+2(1+2 R) \eta^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+4 \eta^{2} \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}  \tag{20}\\
\leq & \left(\frac{6 \tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2} R} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{4 \tilde{\eta}^{2} \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}}{\beta^{2} R^{2}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} 2\left(1+\frac{1}{R}\right)^{r}  \tag{21}\\
\leq & \frac{8 \tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}}\left(3 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}}{R}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where (20) is by having $2 \eta^{2} L_{F}^{2}=2 L_{F}^{2} \frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2} R^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2 R^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2 R}$ when $\tilde{\eta}^{2} \leq \frac{\beta^{2}}{4 L_{F}^{2}}$, for all $R \geq 1$. (21) is due to unrolling (20) recursively, and $2(1+2 R) \eta^{2}=2(1+2 R) \frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2} R^{2}} \leq \frac{6 \tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2} R}$ because $\frac{1+2 R}{R} \leq 3$ when $R \geq 1$. We have (22) because $\sum_{r=0}^{R-1}(1+1 / R)^{r}=\frac{(1+1 / R)^{R}-1}{1 / R} \leq \frac{e-1}{1 / R} \leq 2 R$, by using the facts that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{i}=\frac{x^{n}-1}{x-1}$ and $\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^{n} \leq e^{x}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Substituting (22) to (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \leq 2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}+\frac{16 \tilde{\eta}^{2} L_{F}^{2}}{\beta^{2}}\left(3 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}}{R}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking average over $N$ and $R$, we finish the proof.

## A.4.3 Completing the proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Before proving the main theorem, we derive the first auxiliary result:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] & \leq \frac{N / S-1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]  \tag{24}\\
& \leq \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}\left(4 L_{F} \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(w_{t}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right]+2 \sigma_{F, 1}^{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where (24) is by Lemma 4 and 25 is by Lemma 2 (a).
The second auxiliary result is as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\tilde{\eta}\left(3 \tilde{\eta}+2 / \mu_{F}\right)}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \tilde{\eta} \frac{16 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}}{\mu_{F}}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{3}}{\beta^{2}} \frac{128 L_{F}^{2}}{\mu_{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N}\left(3 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{2 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}}{R}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& \leq \tilde{\eta} \frac{16 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}}{\mu_{F}}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{3}}{\beta^{2}} \frac{128 L_{F}^{2}}{\mu_{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N}\left(6 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+6 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{2 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}}{R}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& \leq \tilde{\eta} \frac{16 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}}{\mu_{F}}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{3}}{\beta^{2}} \frac{128 L_{F}^{2}}{\mu_{F}}\left(12 L_{F} \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(w_{t}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right]+\frac{2\left(3 R \sigma_{F, 1}^{2}+\delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}{R}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& \leq \tilde{\eta} \frac{16 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}}{\mu_{F}}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta} 768 \kappa_{F} L_{F} \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(w_{t}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{3}}{\beta^{2}} \frac{256\left(3 R \sigma_{F, 1}^{2}+\delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right) \kappa_{F}}{R} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have (26) by using Lemma 5 and $3 \tilde{\eta}+2 / \mu_{F} \leq 8 / \mu_{F}$ when $\tilde{\eta} \leq 2 / \mu_{F}$. 27) is by the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|X\|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\|X-\mathbb{E}[X]\|^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}[\|X\|]^{2}$ for any vector of random variable $X$. (28) is due to Lemma 2 and $\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq 2 L_{F}\left(F\left(w_{t}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right)$ by $L_{F}$-smoothness of $F(\cdot)$. 29) is due to $\tilde{\eta} \leq \frac{\beta}{2 L_{F}}$ and $\kappa_{F}:=\frac{L_{F}}{\mu_{F}}$.
By substituting (25) and (28) into Lemma 3. we have

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{t+1}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \\
\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{t}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right]-\tilde{\eta}\left[2-\tilde{\eta} L_{F}\left(6+12 \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}+\frac{768 \kappa_{F}}{\beta}\right)\right.
\end{array}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(w_{t}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right]\right)
$$

where we have 30 by using the fact that $\frac{N / S-1}{N-1} \leq 1$ for the following inequality

$$
2-\tilde{\eta} L_{F}\left(6+12 \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}+\frac{768 \kappa_{F}}{\beta}\right) \geq 2-6 \tilde{\eta} L_{F}\left(3+\frac{128 \kappa_{F}}{\beta}\right) \geq 1
$$

with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\eta} \leq \frac{1}{6 L_{F}\left(3+128 \kappa_{F} / \beta\right)}=: \hat{\eta}_{1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\hat{\eta}_{1} \leq \min \left\{\frac{\beta}{2 L_{F}}, \frac{2}{\mu_{F}}\right\}$ with $\beta \geq 1$ and $L_{F} \geq \mu_{F}$.

Let $\Delta_{t}:=\left\|w_{t}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}$. By re-arranging the terms and multiplying both sides of (30) with $\frac{\alpha_{t}}{\tilde{\eta} A_{T}}$, where $A_{T}:=\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \alpha_{t}$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{\alpha_{t} \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(w_{t}\right)\right]}{A_{T}}-F\left(w^{*}\right) & \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right) \frac{\alpha_{t} \Delta_{t}}{\tilde{\eta} A_{T}}-\frac{\alpha_{t} \Delta_{t+1}}{\tilde{\eta} A_{T}}\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{3}+\tilde{\eta} C_{2}+C_{1} \\
& \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\alpha_{t-1} \Delta_{t}-\alpha_{t} \Delta_{t+1}}{\tilde{\eta} A_{T}}\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{3}+\tilde{\eta} C_{2}+C_{1}  \tag{32}\\
& =\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta} A_{T}} \Delta_{0}-\frac{\alpha_{T-1}}{\tilde{\eta} A_{T}} \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_{T}\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{3}+\tilde{\eta} C_{2}+C_{1} \\
& \leq \mu_{F} e^{-\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F} T / 2} \Delta_{0}-\frac{\mu_{F}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_{T}\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{3}+\tilde{\eta} C_{2}+C_{1} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have (32) because in order for telescoping, we choose $\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right) \alpha_{t}=\alpha_{t-1}$, and thus $\alpha_{t}=\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right)^{-(t+1)}$ by recursive update. Regarding to (33), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{T} & =\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right)^{-(t+1)} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right)^{-T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right)^{t} \\
& =a_{T-1} \frac{1-\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right)^{T}}{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\frac{a_{T-1}}{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}} \leq A_{T} \leq \frac{2 a_{T-1}}{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}
$$

where the first inequality is due to the fact that $\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right)^{T} \leq \exp \left(-\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F} T / 2\right) \leq \exp (-1) \leq 1 / 2$ by setting $\tilde{\eta} T \geq \frac{2}{\mu_{F}}$ and the second inequality is due to $1-\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right)^{T} \leq 1$; thus we have $\frac{\alpha_{T-1}}{\tilde{\eta} A_{T}} \geq \frac{\mu_{F}}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta} A_{T}} \leq \mu_{F}\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F}}{2}\right)^{T} \leq \mu_{F} e^{-\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F} T / 2}$.
Due to the convexity of $F(\cdot)$, (33) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{\alpha_{t}}{A_{T}} w_{t}\right)\right]-F\left(w^{*}\right)+\frac{\mu_{F}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_{T}\right] \leq \mu_{F} \Delta_{0} e^{-\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F} T / 2}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{3}+\tilde{\eta} C_{2}+C_{1} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\bar{w}_{T}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right] \leq \mu_{F} \Delta_{0} e^{-\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F} T / 2}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{3}+\tilde{\eta} C_{2}+C_{1} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, using the techniques in [3-5], we consider following cases:

- If $\hat{\eta}_{1} \geq \max \left\{\frac{2 \ln \left(\mu_{F}^{2} \Delta_{0} T / 2 C_{2}\right)}{\mu_{F} T}, \frac{2}{\mu_{F} T}\right\}=: \eta^{\prime}$, then we choose $\tilde{\eta}=\eta^{\prime}$; thus, having

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\bar{w}_{T}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right] & \leq \mu_{F} \Delta_{0} e^{-\ln \left(\mu_{F}^{2} \Delta_{0} T / 2 C_{2}\right)}+\eta^{\prime} C_{2}+\frac{\eta^{\prime 2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{3}+C_{1} \\
& \leq \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{C_{2}}{T \mu_{F}}\right)+\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{C_{3}}{T^{2} \beta^{2} \mu_{F}^{2}}\right)+C_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $\frac{2}{\mu_{F} T} \leq \hat{\eta}_{1} \leq \frac{2 \ln \left(\mu_{F}^{2} \Delta_{0} T / 2 C_{2}\right)}{\mu_{F} T}$, then we choose $\tilde{\eta}=\hat{\eta}_{1}$; thus, having

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\bar{w}_{T}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right] \leq \mu_{F} \Delta_{0} e^{-\hat{\eta}_{1} \mu_{F} T / 2}+\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{C_{2}}{T \mu_{F}}\right)+\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{C_{3}}{T^{2} \beta^{2} \mu_{F}^{2}}\right)+C_{1}
$$

Combining two cases, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\bar{w}_{T}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right] \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\bar{w}_{T}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right]\right):= \\
& \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta_{0} \mu_{F} e^{-\hat{\eta}_{1} \mu_{F} T / 2}\right)+\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{(N / S-1) \sigma_{F, 1}^{2}}{\mu_{F} T N}\right)+\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{\left(R \sigma_{F, 1}^{2}+\delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right) \kappa_{F}}{R\left(T \beta \mu_{F}\right)^{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2} \delta^{2}}{\mu_{F}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which finishes the proof of part (a). We next prove part (b) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} & {\left[\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}^{T}\left(w_{T}\right)-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right] } \\
& \leq 3 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}^{T}\left(w_{T}\right)-\hat{\theta}_{i}^{T}\left(w_{T}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\hat{\theta}_{i}^{T}\left(w_{T}\right)-w_{T}\right\|^{2}+\left\|w_{T}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq 3\left(\delta^{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{T}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{T}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right]\right) \\
& \leq 3\left(\delta^{2}+\frac{2}{\lambda^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{T}\right)-\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{T}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right]\right) \\
& \leq 3\left(\delta^{2}+3 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{T}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{2}{\lambda^{2}}\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is due to smoothness of $F_{i}$ with $L_{F}=\lambda$ according to Proposition 1. Take the average over $N$ clients, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}^{T}\left(w_{T}\right)-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right] & \leq 9 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{T}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{6 \sigma_{F, 1}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}+3 \delta^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\mu_{F}} \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\bar{w}_{T}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right]\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sigma_{F, 1}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}+\delta^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is by using (35) and (36), we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{T}-w^{*}\right\|^{2}\right] & \leq \frac{2}{\mu_{F}}\left(\mu_{F} \Delta_{0} e^{-\tilde{\eta} \mu_{F} T / 2}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{3}+\tilde{\eta} C_{2}+C_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\mu_{F}} \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\bar{w}_{T}\right)-F\left(w^{*}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## A. 5 Theorem 2

Proof. We first prove part (a). Due to the $L_{F}$-smoothness of $F(\cdot)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(w_{t+1}\right)-F\left(w_{t}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right), w_{t+1}-w_{t}\right\rangle\right]+\frac{L_{F}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{t+1}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& =-\tilde{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right), g_{t}\right\rangle\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2} L_{F}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& =-\tilde{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]-\tilde{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right), g_{t}-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\rangle\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2} L_{F}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq-\tilde{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{1}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R} g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2} L_{F}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]  \tag{36}\\
& \leq-\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} \nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{\tilde{\eta}\left(1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right)}{2} \frac{1}{N R} \sum_{i, r}^{N, R} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|g_{i, r}^{t}-\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{3 \tilde{\eta}^{2} L_{F}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]  \tag{37}\\
& \leq-\frac{\tilde{\eta}\left(1-3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right)}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}^{2}}{2} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\tilde{\eta}\left(1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right)}{2}\left[2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}+\frac{16 \tilde{\eta}^{2} L_{F}^{2}}{\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}}{R}+3 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+3 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right)\right]  \tag{38}\\
\leq & -\frac{\tilde{\eta}\left(1-3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right)}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}^{2}}{2} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}\left(\sigma_{F, 2}^{2}+\frac{8 L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right) \\
& +\frac{\tilde{\eta}\left(1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right)}{2}\left[2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}+\frac{16 \tilde{\eta}^{2} L_{F}^{2}}{\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}}{R}+3 \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}+\frac{3 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right)\right](39)  \tag{39}\\
= & -\frac{\tilde{\eta}\left(1-3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right)}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\tilde{\eta}^{2} L_{F}\left(\frac{12 L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}+\frac{24 \tilde{\eta}\left(1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right) \lambda^{2} L_{F}}{\beta^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}\right)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{3}}{\beta^{2}}\left(1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right) \frac{8\left(3 R \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}+2 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}{R}+\tilde{\eta}^{2} \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}\left(\frac{3 L_{F}}{2} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}\right)+\tilde{\eta}\left(1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right) \lambda^{2} \delta^{2} \\
\leq & -\tilde{\eta}[\underbrace{\left.1-\tilde{\eta} L_{F}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{12 L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}+\frac{36 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}}\right)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]}_{=: C_{4}}  \tag{40}\\
& +\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{3}}{\beta^{2}}\left(1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right) \frac{8\left(3 R \sigma_{F, 2}+2 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}{R}+\tilde{\eta}^{2} \frac{3 L_{F} \sigma_{F}^{2}}{2} \frac{\sigma_{F, 2}^{2}}{2} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}+\tilde{\eta}\left(1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta}\right) \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}  \tag{41}\\
\leq & -\frac{\tilde{\eta}_{2}^{2}}{2}\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}+++\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{3}}{\beta^{2}} \underbrace{\frac{16\left(3 R \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}+2 \delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)}{R}}_{=: C_{5}}+\tilde{\eta}^{2} \underbrace{\frac{3 L_{F} \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}}{2} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}}_{=: C_{6}}+\tilde{\eta} \underbrace{2 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where (37) is due to Cauchy-Swartz and AM-GM inequalities, (38) is by decomposing $\left\|g_{t}\right\|^{2}$ into three terms according to (18), and (39) is by using Lemmas 4 and 5 , and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|X\|^{2}\right]=$ $\mathbb{E}\left[\|X-\mathbb{E}[X]\|^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}[\|X\|]^{2}$ for any vector of random variable $X$. We have (40) by Lemma 2 , and (41) by re-arranging the terms, and (42) by having $1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta} \leq 1+\frac{3 \beta}{2} \leq 3 \beta$ when $\tilde{\eta} \leq \frac{\beta}{2 L_{F}}$ according to Lemma5 5 and $\beta \geq 1$. Finally, we have (43) by using the condition $\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2} \geq 1$ and the fact that $\frac{N / S-1}{N-1} \leq 1$ for the following

$$
L_{F}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{12 L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}+\frac{36 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{L_{F}}{2}\left(3+24 L^{2}+72 \lambda^{2}\right) \leq \frac{L_{F}}{2}\left(75 \lambda^{2}\right)
$$

to get

$$
1-\tilde{\eta} L_{F}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{12 L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \frac{N / S-1}{N-1}+\frac{36 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}}\right) \geq 1-\frac{75 \tilde{\eta} L_{F} \lambda^{2}}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\eta} \leq \frac{1}{75 L_{F} \lambda^{2}}=: \hat{\eta}_{2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also implies $1+3 L_{F} \tilde{\eta} \leq 1+\frac{1}{25 \lambda^{2}} \leq 2$.
We note that $\hat{\eta}_{2} \leq \frac{\beta}{2 L_{F}}$ with $\beta \geq 1$. By re-arranging the terms of (43) and telescoping, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(w^{0}\right)-F\left(w_{T}\right)\right]}{\tilde{\eta} T}+\frac{\tilde{\eta}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} C_{4}+\tilde{\eta} C_{5}+C_{6} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $\Delta_{F}:=F\left(w^{0}\right)-F^{*}$, and following the techniques used by [3-5], we consider two cases:

- If $\hat{\eta}_{2}^{3} \geq \frac{\beta^{2} \Delta_{F}}{T C_{4}}$ or $\hat{\eta}_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{\Delta_{F}}{T C_{5}}$, then we choose $\tilde{\eta}=\min \left\{\left(\frac{\beta^{2} \Delta_{F}}{T C_{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}},\left(\frac{\Delta_{F}}{T C_{5}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$; thus, having

$$
\frac{1}{2 T} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \frac{\left(\Delta_{F}\right)^{2 / 3} C_{4}^{1 / 3}}{\left(\beta^{2} T\right)^{2 / 3}}+\frac{\left(\Delta_{F} C_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\sqrt{T}}+C_{6}
$$

- If $\hat{\eta}_{2}^{3} \leq \frac{\beta^{2} \Delta_{F}}{T C_{4}}$ and $\hat{\eta}_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{\Delta_{F}}{T C_{5}}$, then we choose $\tilde{\eta}=\hat{\eta}_{2}$. We have

$$
\frac{1}{2 T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \frac{\Delta_{F}}{\hat{\eta}_{2} T}+\frac{\left(\Delta_{F}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(C_{4}\right)^{1 / 3}}{\left(\beta^{2} T\right)^{2 / 3}}+\frac{\left(\Delta_{F} C_{5}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\sqrt{T}}+C_{6}
$$

Combining two cases, and with $t^{*}$ uniformly sampled from $\{0, \ldots, T-1$,$\} we have$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t^{*}}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t^{*}}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right):= \\
& \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Delta_{F}}{\hat{\eta}_{2} T}+\frac{\left(\Delta_{F}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(R \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \delta^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\beta^{\frac{4}{3}} R^{\frac{1}{3}} T^{\frac{2}{3}}}+\frac{\left(\Delta_{F} L_{F} \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}(N / S-1)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{T N}}+\lambda^{2} \delta^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first part of Theorem 2
We next prove part (b) as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}^{t}\left(w_{t}\right)-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] & \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}^{t}\left(w_{t}\right)-\hat{\theta}_{i}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\hat{\theta}_{i}^{t}\left(w_{t}\right)-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq 2 \delta^{2}+\frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]}{\lambda^{2}} \\
& \leq 2 \delta^{2}+\frac{2}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{2 \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}, \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality is due to Proposition (3) and the third inequality is by using the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|X\|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\|X-\mathbb{E}[X]\|^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}[\|X\|]^{2}$ for any vector of random variable $X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F_{i}\left(w_{t}\right)-\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing (??) from $t=0$ to $T$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{T N} \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{i}^{t}-w_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \frac{2}{\lambda^{2}-8 L^{2}} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F\left(w_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+2 \delta^{2}+\frac{2 \sigma_{F, 2}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}
$$

and with $t^{*}$ uniformly sampled from $\{0, \ldots, T-1\}$, we finish the proof.

## B Additional Experimental Settings And Results

## B. 1 Additional Experimental Environment Settings

We implemented pFedMe, FedAvg, and Per-FedAvg using PyTorch [6] and run the experiments on multiple computers using the Intel Core i7-9700K CPU and 32GB of RAM. Each experiment is run at least 10 times for statistical reports.

## B. 2 Effect of hyperparameters

To understand how different hyperparameters such as $R,|\mathcal{D}|$, and $\lambda$ affect the convergence of pFedMe in both $\mu$-strongly convex and nonconvex settings, we conduct various experiments on MNIST dataset with $\eta=0.005$ and $S=5$.
Effects of local computation rounds $R$ : When the communication is relatively costly, the server tends to allow users to have more local computations, which can lead to less global model updates and thus faster convergence. Therefore, we monitor the behavior of pFedMe using a number of





Figure 1: Effect of $R$ on the convergence of pFedMe in $\mu$-strongly convex and nonconvex settings on MNIST $(|\mathcal{D}|=20, \lambda=15, K=5, \beta=1)$.


Figure 2: Effect of $|\mathcal{D}|$ on the convergence of pFedMe in $\mu$-strongly convex and nonconvex settings on MNIST ( $\lambda=15, R=20, K=5, \beta=1$ ).


Figure 3: Effect of $\lambda$ on the convergence of pFedMe in $\mu$-strongly convex and nonconvex settings on MNIST ( $|\mathcal{D}|=20, R=20, K=5, \beta=1$ ).
values of $R$, which results in Fig. 1. The results show that larger values of $R$ have a benefit on the convergence of both the personalized and the global models. There is, nevertheless, a trade-off between the computations and communications: while larger $R$ requires more computations at local users, smaller $R$ needs more global communication rounds to converge. To balance this trade-off, we fix $R=20$ and evaluate the effect of other hyperparameters accordingly.

Effects of Mini-Batch size $|\mathcal{D}|$ : As mentioned in the Lemma $1,|\mathcal{D}|$ is one of the parameters which can be controlled to adjust the value of $\delta$. In Fig. 3, when the size of the mini-batch is increased, pFedMe has the higher convergence rate. However, very large $|\mathcal{D}|$ will not only slow the convergence of pFedMe but also requires higher computations at the local users. During the experiments, the value of $|\mathcal{D}|$ is configured as a constant value equal to 20 .

Effects of regularization $\lambda$ : Fig. 4 shows the convergence rate of pFedMe with different values of $\lambda$. In all settings, larger $\lambda$ allows for faster convergence; however, we also observe that the significantly large $\lambda$ will hurt the performance of pFedMe by making pFedMe diverge. Therefore, $\lambda$ should be tuned carefully depending on the dataset. We fix $\lambda=15$ for all scenarios with MNIST.
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