Supplementary Material for Adaptive Smoothed Online Multi-Task Learning

Keerthiram Murugesan* Carnegie Mellon University kmuruges@cs.cmu.edu

Jaime Carbonell Carnegie Mellon University jgc@cs.cmu.edu Hanxiao Liu* Carnegie Mellon University hanxiaol@cs.cmu.edu

Yiming Yang Carnegie Mellon University yiming@cs.cmu.edu

Theoretical Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1

=

$$\begin{split} \textit{Proof. Define } \Delta_k^{(t)} &\stackrel{def}{=} \|w_k^{(t)} - w_k^*\|^2 - \|w_k^{(t+1)} - w_k^*\|^2. \\ \textit{We can first upper bound } \sum_{t \in T} \Delta_k^{(t)} \textit{via } \sum_{t \in [T]} \Delta_k^{(t)} = \sum_{t \in [T]} \|w_k^{(t)} - w_k^*\|^2 - \|w_k^{(t+1)} - w_k^*\|^2 = \|w_k^{(0)} - w_k^*\|^2 - \|w_k^{(T+1)} - w_k^*\|^2 \le \|w_k^*\|^2. \end{split}$$

We further notice any non-zero $\Delta_k^{(t)}$ can be lower-bounded via

$$\Delta_k^{(t)} = \|w_k^{(t)} - w_k^*\|^2 - \|w_k^{(t)} - C\sum_{j \in [K]^+} \eta_{kj}^{(t)} \ell_{kj}^{(t)'} - w_k^*\|^2$$
(1)

$$=2Cw_{k}^{(t)}\sum_{j\in[K]^{+}}\eta_{kj}^{(t)}\ell_{kj}^{(t)'}-2Cw_{k}^{*}\sum_{j\in[K]^{+}}\eta_{kj}^{(t)}\ell_{kj}^{(t)'}-C^{2}\big\|\sum_{j\in[K]^{+}}\eta_{kj}^{(t)}\ell_{kj}^{(t)'}\big\|_{2}^{2}$$
(2)

$$\geq 2C \sum_{j \in [K]^+} \eta_{kj}^{(t)} \left(\ell_{kj}^{(t)} - 1 \right) - 2C \sum_{j \in [K]^+} \eta_{kj}^{(t)} \left(\ell_{kj}^{(t)*} - 1 \right) - C^2 \left\| \sum_{j \in [K]^+} \eta_{kj}^{(t)} y_j^{(t)} x_j^{(t)} \right\|_2^2 \quad (3)$$

$$= 2C \sum_{j \in [K]^+} \eta_{kj}^{(t)} \ell_{kj}^{(t)} - 2C \sum_{j \in [K]^+} \eta_{kj}^{(t)} \ell_{kj}^{(t)*} - C^2 \| \sum_{j \in [K]^+} \eta_{kj}^{(t)} y_j^{(t)} x_j^{(t)} \|_2^2$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$\geq 2C\eta_{kk}^{(t)}\ell_{kk}^{(t)} - 2C\sum_{j\in[K]^+}\eta_{kj}^{(t)}\ell_{kj}^{(t)*} - C^2\Big(\sum_{j\in[K]^+}\eta_{kj}^{(t)}\|x_j^{(t)}\|_2\Big)^2$$
(5)

$$\geq 2C\eta_{kk}^{(t)} \left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)} - \ell_{kk}^{(t)*}\right) - 2C \sum_{j \in [K]^+, j \neq k} \eta_{kj}^{(t)} \ell_{kj}^{(t)*} - C^2 R^2 \tag{6}$$

$$\geq 2C\alpha \left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)} - \ell_{kk}^{(t)*}\right) - 2C(1-\alpha)\ell_{kk}^{(t)*} - 2C\sum_{j \in [K]^+, j \neq k} \eta_{kj}^{(t)}\ell_{kj}^{(t)*} - C^2R^2 \tag{7}$$

$$=2C\alpha\left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)}-\ell_{kk}^{(t)*}\right)-2C(1-\alpha)\left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)*}+\sum_{j\in[K]^+,j\neq k}\eta_{kj}^{(t)}\ell_{kj}^{(t)*}\right)-C^2R^2\tag{8}$$

$$\geq 2C\alpha \left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)} - \ell_{kk}^{(t)*}\right) - 2C(1-\alpha) \left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)*} + \max_{j \in [K]^+, j \neq k} \ell_{kj}^{(t)*}\right) - C^2 R^2 \tag{9}$$

30th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2016), Barcelona, Spain.

^{*}Both student authors contributed equally.

Combining the aforementioned upper and lower bound over $\sum_{t \in [T]} \Delta_k^{(t)}$, we have

$$\sum_{t \in [T]} \left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)} - \ell_{kk}^{(t)*}\right) \le \frac{1}{2C\alpha} \|w_k^*\|^2 + \frac{(1-\alpha)T}{\alpha} \left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)*} + \max_{j \in [K]^+, j \ne k} \ell_{kj}^{(t)*}\right) + \frac{CR^2T}{2\alpha}$$
(10)

Proof of Corollary 2

Proof. By setting $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{T}}{1+\sqrt{T}}$ and $C = \frac{1+\sqrt{T}}{T}$, we have

$$\sum_{t \in [T]} \left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)} - \ell_{kk}^{(t)*}\right) \le \frac{\sqrt{T}}{2} \|w_k^*\|^2 + \sqrt{T} \left(\ell_{kk}^{(t)*} + \max_{j \in [K]^+, j \ne k} \ell_{kj}^{(t)*}\right) + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{T})^2}{2\sqrt{T}} R^2$$
(11)

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{T}}{2} \|w_k^*\|^2 + \sqrt{T} \Big(\ell_{kk}^{(t)*} + \max_{j \in [K]^+, j \neq k} \ell_{kj}^{(t)*} \Big) + 2\sqrt{T}R^2$$
(12)

$$=\sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|w_{k}^{*}\|^{2} + \ell_{kk}^{(t)*} + \max_{j \in [K]^{+}, j \neq k}\ell_{kj}^{(t)*} + 2R^{2}\right)$$
(13)

Asymptotically, the average regret of our algorithm w.r.t the best predictor w^* in hindsight goes to 0. Since our algorithm depends on C and α , our algorithm needs to know the value of T. We can get rid of the dependence of our regret bound on T using the *doubling trick*.

Relationship to Domain Adaptation and Life-long Learning

Multi-task learning has been studied in part under a related research topic, *Domain Adaptation* (DA) [1] under different assumptions. There are several key differences between those methods and ours: i) While DA tries to find a *single* hypothesis that works well for both the source and the target data, this paper finds a hypothesis for each task by adaptively leveraging related tasks. ii) It is a typical assumption in DA that the source domains are label-rich and the target domains are label-scarce. However, we are more interested in the scenario where there is a large number of tasks with very few examples available for each task. iii) DA uses predefined uniform weights or weights induced from VC-convergence theory during training, while our method allows cross-task weights to dynamically evolve in an adaptive manner.

The proposed online method is significantly different from lifelong learning (*ELLA* [2]). Unlike our online learning setting where the data from each task arrives in an online fashion, in lifelong learning, task arrives sequentially. At any time-step, the online learner either receives a subset of data for previously solved task or a completely new task.

References

- Shai Ben-David, John Blitzer, Koby Crammer, Alex Kulesza, Fernando Pereira, and Jennifer Wortman Vaughan. A theory of learning from different domains. *Machine learning*, 79(1-2):151–175, 2010.
- [2] Paul Ruvolo and Eric Eaton. Ella: An efficient lifelong learning algorithm. *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 28:507–515, 2013.