

Supplementary Material

Algorithms

DetNAS consists of three steps: supernet pre-training on ImageNet, supernet fine-tuning on detection datasets and architecture search on the trained supernet with EA. We formulate them in algorithms here. The first two steps are combined into Algorithm 1. The third step is formulated in to Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 1: SuperNet Training	Algorithm 2: Search on Supernet with EA
Input : Search space \mathbb{S} , Detector \mathcal{D} , ImageNet pre-training iterations I_P , Detection fine-tuning iterations I_F , ImageNet pre-training dataset \mathbb{T}_{Pre} , Detection fine-tuning dataset \mathbb{T}_{Det} .	Input : Trained supernet model S , Detector \mathcal{D} , Population size $ \mathbf{P} $, Parent size $ \mathcal{P} $, Detection validation set \mathbb{V}_{Det} , Small subset of detection training set \mathbb{T}_{Det_S} , Evolution iterations I_E , Constraint η .
1 $S \leftarrow \text{initialize}(\mathbb{S})$ 2 $i \leftarrow 0$ 3 while $i < I_P$ do 4 $\theta \leftarrow \text{random-path}(\mathbb{S})$ 5 $S \leftarrow \text{training}(S(\theta), \mathbb{T}_{Pre})$ 6 $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 7 $j \leftarrow 0$ 8 while $j < I_F$ do 9 $\theta \leftarrow \text{random-path}(\mathbb{S})$ 10 $S \leftarrow \text{training}(\mathcal{D}(S(\theta)), \mathbb{T}_{Det})$ 11 $j \leftarrow j + 1$	1 $\mathbf{P}^{(0)} \leftarrow \text{random-initialize}(\mathbf{P} , \eta)$ 2 $f_{best}, \theta_{best} \leftarrow (0, \emptyset)$ 3 for $k \in (1 \text{ to } I_E)$ do 4 for $P \in \mathbf{P}^{(k)}$ do 5 $S(P.\theta) \leftarrow \text{getBN}(\mathcal{D}(S(P.\theta)), \mathbb{T}_{Det_S})$ 6 $P.f \leftarrow \text{evaluate}(\mathcal{D}(S(P.\theta)), \mathbb{V}_{Det})$ 7 if $P.f > f_{best}$ then 8 $f_{best}, \theta_{best} \leftarrow (P.f, P.\theta)$ 9 $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow \text{select-topk}(\mathbf{P}^{(k)}, \mathcal{P})$ 10 $\mathbf{P}^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \text{mutate-crossover}(\mathcal{P}, \eta)$
Output : The trained supernet model S	Output : The best architecture θ_{best}

Comparisons in the “2x” Settings

Table 1: Main result comparisons in “2x” settings

Backbone	ImageNet Classification		Object Detection with FPN on COCO					
	FLOPs	Accuracy	mAP	AP_{50}	AP_{75}	AP_s	AP_m	AP_l
ResNet-50	3.8G	76.15	39.3	60.3	42.9	23.9	41.8	51.9
ResNet-101	7.6G	77.37	40.9	61.9	44.9	24.2	43.8	54.0
ShuffleNetv2-40	1.3G	77.18	41.1	62.6	45.4	24.6	44.2	54.2
ShuffleNetv2-40 (3.8)	3.8G	78.47	42.4	63.6	46.7	26.2	45.5	55.6
DetNASNet	1.3G	77.20	41.8	63.3	45.5	25.4	44.8	55.1
DetNASNet (3.8)	3.8G	78.44	43.4	64.9	47.3	25.9	46.7	58.0

* Results are trained with the same setting as in Section 4, except that the training setting is “2x” in Detectron.

Results in the paper are trained with the “1x” setting in Detectron to keep consistency with the supernet training. Here we report the comparisons in “2x” setting. DetNASNet and DetNASNet (3.8) are still superior to the hand-crafted ResNet-50, ResNet-101 and ShuffleNetv2-40.

Inference time comparisons

Table 2: Inference and mAP of ResNet and DetNASNet on FPN.

	ResNet-50	ResNet-101	ShuffleNetv2-40	ShuffleNetv2-40 (3.8)	DetNASNet	DetNASNet (3.8)
FPS	17.9	15.3	21.8	17.2	20.4	15.8
mAP _{1x}	37.3	40.0	39.2	40.8	40.2	42.0
mAP _{2x}	39.3	40.9	41.1	42.4	41.8	43.4

* We measure the inference time on Tesla V100 and our platform Brain++ with input size (800, 1200).

Although inference time is not the target of this work, we measure the FPS to avoid the concern about the speed of DetNASNet. DetNASNet processes 5 more frames per second than ResNet-101. DetNASNet (3.8) is only 2 FPS slower than ResNet-50 but has a much better mAP.