### A Proofs from Section 3

**Lemma 5.** For any  $\tau$ ,  $\beta$ , n, and any sequence of querying rules (with arbitrary adaptivity) interacting with VALIDATIONROUND( $\tau$ ,  $\beta$ , n, S, T)

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\forall_{i < \eta} \left| \mathcal{E}_T\left[Q_i\right] - \underset{x \sim \mathcal{D}}{\mathbb{E}}\left[Q_i(x)\right] \right| \leq \frac{\tau}{4} \right] \geq 1 - \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Consider any sequence of querying rules (with arbitrary adaptivity). The interaction between the query rules and VALIDATIONROUND $(\tau, \beta, n, S, T)$  together determines a joint distribution over statistical queries, answers, and prices  $(Q_1, A_1, P_1), ..., (Q_{\eta-1}, A_{\eta-1}, P_{\eta-1})$ .

Consider also the interaction of the same sequence of querying rules with an alternative algorithm, which always returns  $\mathcal{E}_S[q_i] + \xi_i$  (i.e. it ignores the if-statement in VALIDATIONROUND). This generates an infinite sequence of queries, answers, and prices  $(Q'_1, A'_1, P'_1), (Q'_2, A'_2, P'_2), \dots$  Now, we retroactively check the condition in the if-statement for each of the queries to calculate what  $\eta$  should be, and take the length  $\eta - 1$  prefix of the  $(Q'_i, A'_i, P'_i)$ . This sequence has exactly the same distribution as the sequence generated by VALIDATIONROUND, and each  $Q'_i$  was chosen independently of T by construction. Since  $Q'_i \sim Q_i$  has outputs bounded in [0, 1], we can apply Hoeffding's inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_T\left[Q_i\right] - \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}\left[Q_i(x)\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{4}\right] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{n\tau^2}{8}\right).$$

At most  $I(\tau, \beta, n) = \frac{\beta}{4} \exp\left(\frac{n\tau^2}{8}\right)$  queries are answered by the mechanism, so a union bound completes the proof.

**Lemma 1.** For any  $\tau$ ,  $\beta$ , and n, for any sequence of querying rules (with arbitrary adaptivity) and any probability distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ , the answers provided by VALIDATIONROUND $(\tau, \beta, n, S, T)$  satisfy

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\forall_{i < \eta} \left| A_i - \underset{x \sim \mathcal{D}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ Q_i(x) \right] \right| \le \tau \right] \ge 1 - \frac{\beta}{2}$$

where the probability is taken over the randomness in the draw of datasets S and T from  $\mathcal{D}^n$ , the querying rules, and VALIDATIONROUND.

*Proof.* A query is not answered unless  $|\mathcal{E}_S[q_i] - \mathcal{E}_T[q_i]| \leq \frac{\tau}{2}$ , so  $\forall i < \eta$ 

$$a_i - \mathbb{E}\left[q_i\right] \le |\xi_i| + |\mathcal{E}_S\left[q_i\right] - \mathcal{E}_T\left[q_i\right]| + |\mathcal{E}_T\left[q_i\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q_i\right]| \le \tau/4 + \tau/2 + |\mathcal{E}_T\left[q_i\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q_i\right]|.$$

By Lemma 5, with probability  $1 - \frac{\beta}{2}$  the final term is at most  $\tau/4$  simultaneously for all  $i < \eta$ . Lemma 2. For any  $\tau$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\eta$ , any sequence of auerving rules, and any non-adaptive user  $\{u_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$ 

**Lemma 2.** For any 
$$\tau$$
,  $\beta$ , and  $n$ , any sequence of querying rules, and any non-adaptive user  $\{u_j\}_{j \in [M]}$   
interacting with VALIDATIONROUND $(\tau, \beta, n, S, T)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\left[\eta \leq I(\tau, \beta, n) \land \eta \in \{u_j\}_{j \in [M]}\right] \leq \beta$ .

*Proof.* Since the non-adaptive user's querying rules ignore all of the history, they are each chosen independently of S. By Hoeffding's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[Q_{u_{j}}\right] - \underset{x \sim \mathcal{D}}{\mathbb{E}}\left[Q_{u_{j}}(x)\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{4}\right] \leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{n\tau^{2}}{8}\right)$$

and similarly for T. If both  $\eta \leq I(\tau, \beta, n)$  and  $\eta = u_j$ , then the algorithm halted upon receiving query  $q_{u_j}$  because its empirical means on S and T were too dissimilar and *not* because it had already answered its maximum allotment of queries. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\eta \le I(\tau,\beta,n) \land \eta = u_j\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_S\left[Q_{u_j}\right] - \mathcal{E}_T\left[Q_{u_j}\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{2}\right] \le 4\exp\left(-\frac{n\tau^2}{8}\right).$$

At most  $I(\tau, \beta, n) = \frac{\beta}{4} \exp\left(\frac{n\tau^2}{8}\right)$  queries are answered by the mechanism, so a union bound completes the proof.

**Lemma 6.** For any  $\tau$ ,  $\beta$ , n, any sequence of query rules, and any possibly adaptive autonomous user  $\{u_j\}_{j \in [M]}$ , if  $\sigma^2 = \frac{\tau^2}{32 \ln(8n^2/\beta)}$  and  $M \leq \frac{n^2 \tau^4}{175760 \ln^2(8n^2/\beta)}$  then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\forall_{j\in[M]} \left| \mathcal{E}_{S}\left[Q_{u_{j}}\right] - \mathbb{E}_{x\sim\mathcal{D}}\left[Q_{u_{j}}(x)\right] \right| \leq \frac{\tau}{4} \right] \geq 1 - \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Consider a slightly modified version of VALIDATIONROUND, where Gaussian noise  $z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  is added instead of truncated Gaussian noise  $\xi_i$ . Until this modified algorithm halts, all of the answers it provides are released according to the Gaussian mechanism on S, which satisfies  $\frac{1}{2n^2\sigma^2}$ -zCDP by Proposition 1.6 in [6]. We can view  $Q_{u_j} = R_{u_j}((q_{u_1}, a_{u_1}, p_{u_1})..., (q_{u_{j-1}}, a_{u_{j-1}}, p_{u_{j-1}}))$  as an (at most) M-fold composition of  $\frac{1}{2n^2\sigma^2}$ -zCDP mechanisms, which satisfies  $\frac{M}{2n^2\sigma^2}$ -zCDP by Lemma 1.7 in [6]. Finally, Proposition 1.3 in [6] shows us how to convert this concentrated differential privacy guarantee to a regular differential privacy guarantee. In particular,  $q_{u_j}$  is generated under

$$\left(\frac{M}{2n^2\sigma^2} + 2\sqrt{\frac{M}{2n^2\sigma^2}\ln\left(1/\delta\right)}, \ \delta\right) - \mathbf{DP} \quad \forall \delta > 0.$$

Specifically, when  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\delta$  and M satisfy:

$$\begin{split} \sigma^2 &= \frac{\tau^2}{32\ln(8n^2/\beta)} \\ \delta &= \frac{\beta}{8n^2} = \frac{\beta}{\frac{n^2\tau}{13\ln(104/\tau)}} \cdot \frac{\tau}{104\ln(104/\tau)} \\ M &\leq \frac{n^2\tau^4}{175760\ln^2(8n^2/\beta)}. \end{split}$$

then  $q_{i_j}$  is generated by a  $\left(\frac{\tau}{52}, \delta\right)$ -differentially private mechanism. Therefore, by Theorem 8 in [11] (cf. [4, 18])

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[q_{u_{j}}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q_{u_{j}}\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{4}\right] \leq \frac{\beta}{\frac{n^{2}\tau}{13\ln(104/\tau)}} \ll \frac{\beta}{4M}$$

Furthermore, for  $z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \mathbb{P}[|z_i| \geq \tau/4] \leq \beta/(4n^2) \leq \beta/(4M)$ . Therefore, the total variation distance between  $\xi_{u_j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2, [-\tau/4, \tau/4])$  and  $z_{u_j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  is  $\Delta(\xi_{u_j}, z_{u_j}) = \mathbb{P}\left[z_{u_j} \notin [-\tau/4, \tau/4]\right] \leq \frac{\beta}{4M}$ . Consider two random vectors Z and  $\xi$ , the first of which has independent  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  distributed coordinates, and the second of which has coordinates  $\xi_{u_j} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2, [-\tau/4, \tau/4]\right)$  for  $j \in [M]$  and  $\xi_i = Z_i$  for all of the  $i \notin \{u_j\}$ . The total variation distance between these vectors is then at most  $\Delta(\xi, Z) \leq M\Delta(\xi_{u_j}, z_{u_j}) \leq \frac{\beta}{4}$ .

Now, for the given sequence of querying rules, S, and T, view VALIDATION-ROUND as a function of the random noise which is added into the answers. Then  $\Delta$ (VALIDATIONROUND( $\xi$ ), VALIDATIONROUND(Z))  $\leq \Delta(\xi, Z) \leq \frac{\beta}{4}$  too. Above, we showed that with probability  $1 - \beta/4$  the user's interaction with VALIDATIONROUND(Z) has the property that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists_{j\in[M]} \left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[q_{u_{j}}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q_{u_{j}}\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{4}\right] \leq \frac{\beta}{4}.$$

So their interaction with VALIDATIONROUND( $\xi$ ) satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists_{j\in[M]} \left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[q_{u_{j}}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q_{u_{j}}\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{4}\right] \leq \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

Since this statement only depends on the indices of  $\xi$  in  $\{u_j\}_{j \in [M]}$ , we can replace all of the remaining indices with truncated Gaussians and maintain this property, which recovers VALIDATIONROUND.

**Lemma 3.** For any  $\tau$ ,  $\beta$ , and n, any sequence of querying rules, and any autonomous user  $\{u_j\}_{j \in [M]}$ interacting with VALIDATIONROUND $(\tau, \beta, n, S, T)$ , if  $\sigma^2 = \frac{\tau^2}{32 \ln(8n^2/\beta)}$  and  $M \leq \frac{n^2 \tau^4}{175760 \ln^2(8n^2/\beta)}$ then  $\mathbb{P}\left[\eta \leq I(\tau, \beta, n) \land \eta \in \{u_j\}_{j \in [M]}\right] \leq \beta$ . *Proof of Lemma 3.* Consider a query  $q_{u_i}$  made by the autonomous user. Lemma 5 guarantees that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\forall_{j\in[M]} \left|\mathcal{E}_T\left[q_{u_j}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q_{u_j}\right]\right| \leq \frac{\tau}{4}\right] \geq 1 - \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

By Lemma 6, with the hypothesized  $\sigma^2$  and M

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\forall_{j\in[M]} \left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[q_{u_{j}}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q_{u_{j}}\right]\right| \leq \frac{\tau}{4}\right] \geq 1 - \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

If both  $\eta \leq I(\tau, \beta, n)$  and  $\eta \in \{u_j\}_{j \in [M]}$ , then the algorithm halted upon receiving a query  $q_{u_j}$  because its empirical means on S and T were too dissimilar and *not* because it had already answered its maximum allotment of queries:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\eta \leq I(\tau,\beta,n) \land \eta \in \{u_j\}_{j \in [M]}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left[\exists_{j \in [M]} \left| \mathcal{E}_S\left[q_{u_j}\right] - \mathcal{E}_T\left[q_{u_j}\right] \right| > \frac{\tau}{2}\right] \leq \beta.$$

### **B** Proofs of Lemma 4

**Lemma 4.** If  $N_0 \ge 18 \ln(2)/\tau^2$  and  $I(\tau, \beta_t, N_t) = (\beta_t/4) \exp(N_t \tau^2/8)$  queries are answered during round t, then at least  $6N_t$  revenue is collected.

*Proof.* The revenue collected in round t via the low price  $\frac{96}{\tau^2 i}$  depends on how many queries are answered both in and before round t. The maximum number of queries answered in a round is  $I_t = I(\tau, \beta_t, N_t) = (\beta_t/4) \exp(N_t \tau^2/8)$  (this is enforced by VALIDATIONROUND). Let  $B_T$  be the total number of queries made before the beginning of round T, then

$$B_T \le \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} I_t = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{\beta_t}{4} \exp\left(\frac{N_t \tau^2}{8}\right)$$
$$\le \frac{\beta_0}{4} \exp\left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{\tau^2}{8} 3^t N_0 - t \ln 2\right)$$
$$\le (\beta_T/4) \exp\left(N_T \tau^2/16\right).$$

The first inequality holds because every exponent in the sum is at least  $\ln(2)$  by our choice of  $N_0$  and for any  $x, y \ge \ln 2$ ,  $e^{x+y} \ge 2 \max(e^x, e^y) \ge e^x + e^y$ . The second inequality holds since  $N_0 > \frac{18 \ln 2}{\tau^2}$  implies  $-T^2 + 3T - N_0 \tau^2 / (8 \ln 2) \le 0$ . So, if  $I_T$  queries are answered during round T, the revenue collected is at least

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I_T} \frac{96}{\tau^2 (B_T + i)} \ge \frac{96}{\tau^2} \left( \ln \left( B_T + I_T \right) - \ln \left( B_T \right) \right)$$
$$\ge \frac{96}{\tau^2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{(\beta_T/4) \exp \left( N_T \tau^2 / 8 \right)}{(\beta_T/4) \exp \left( N_T \tau^2 / 16 \right)} \right)$$
$$\ge 6N_T$$

### **C Tighter THRESHOLDOUT Analysis**

In this section, we provide a tighter analysis of the THRESHOLDOUT algorithm [11]. In particular, previous analysis showed a sample complexity for answering m queries with an overfitting budget of B of  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{B}\ln^{1.5}m)$  whereas we prove a bound like  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{B}\ln m)$ . The improvement has important consequences for our application of THRESHOLDOUT to the everlasting database setting. We make the improvement by applying the "monitor technique" of Bassily et al. [4].

**Lemma 7** (Lemma 23 [11]). THRESHOLDOUT satisfies  $\left(\frac{2B}{\sigma n}, 0\right)$ -differential privacy and also  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{32B\ln(2/\delta)}}{\sigma n}, \delta\right)$ -differential privacy for any  $\delta > 0$ .

Algorithm 4 THRESHOLDOUT $(S, T, \tau, \beta, \zeta, B, \sigma)$ 

1: Sample  $\rho \sim$  Laplace  $(2\sigma)$ 2: for each query q do if B < 1 then 3: HALT 4: 5: else Sample  $\lambda \sim \text{Laplace}(4\sigma)$ 6: if  $|\mathcal{E}_S[q] - \mathcal{E}_T[q]| > \zeta + \rho + \lambda$  then 7: 8: Sample  $\xi \sim \text{Laplace}(\sigma), \rho \sim \text{Laplace}(2\sigma)$ 9:  $B \leftarrow B - 1$ 10: **Output**:  $(\mathcal{E}_T[q] + \xi, \top)$ 11: else 12: **Output**:  $(\mathcal{E}_{S}[q], \perp)$ 

**Lemma 8** (Corollary 7 [11]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algorithm that outputs a statistical query q. Let S be a random dataset chosen according to distribution  $\mathcal{D}^n$  and let  $q = \mathcal{A}(S)$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\epsilon$ -differentially private then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[q\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q\right]\right| \ge \epsilon\right] \le 6\exp\left(-n\epsilon^{2}\right)$$

**Lemma 9** (Theorem 8 [11]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -differentially private algorithm that outputs a statistical query. For dataset S drawn from  $\mathcal{D}^n$ , we let  $q = \mathcal{A}(S)$ . Then for  $n \geq \frac{2\ln(8/\delta)}{2}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[q\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q\right]\right| > 13\epsilon\right] \leq \frac{2\delta}{\epsilon}\ln\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)$$

**Theorem 5** (cf. Theorem 25 [11]). Let  $\beta, \tau > 0$  and  $m \ge B > 0$ . Set  $\zeta = \frac{3\tau}{4}$  and  $\sigma = \frac{\tau}{48 \ln(4m/\beta)}$ . Let S, T denote datasets of size n drawn i.i.d. from a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ . Consider an analyst that is given access to S and adaptively chooses functions  $q_1, \ldots, q_m$  while interacting with THRESHOLDOUT which is given datasets S, T and values  $\sigma, B, \zeta$ . For every  $i \in [m]$  let  $(a_i, o_i)$  denote the answer of THRESHOLDOUT on query  $q_i$ . Then whenever

$$n \ge \min\left\{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{B\ln\left(\frac{m}{\beta}\right)}{\tau^2}\right), \ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{m}{\beta}\right)\sqrt{B\ln\left(\frac{\ln(1/\tau)}{\beta\tau}\right)}}{\tau^2}\right)\right\}$$

with probability at least  $1 - \beta$ , for all *i* before THRESHOLDOUT halts  $|a_i - \mathbb{E}[q_i]| \leq \tau$  and  $o_i = \top \implies q_i$  is an adaptive query.

*Proof.* Consider the following post-processing of the output of THRESHOLDOUT: look through the sequence of queries and answers  $(q_1, a_1), \ldots, (q_{\text{HALT}}, a_{\text{HALT}})$  and output  $q^*, a^* = \arg \max_{q,a} |a - \mathbb{E}[q]|$ . Since this procedure does not use the datasets S, T and since THRESHOLDOUT computes the sequence of queries and answers in a differentially private manner, it means that  $q^*, a^*$  are also released under differential privacy. So by Lemma 7,  $q^*$  is released simultaneously under

$$\left(\frac{2B}{\sigma n}, 0\right)$$
-differential privacy and  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{32B\ln(2/\delta)}}{\sigma n}, \delta\right)$ -differential privacy (2)

With our choice of  $\sigma$ , in the case that  $n \geq \frac{768B \ln(\frac{4m}{\beta})}{\tau^2}$  then, using the pure differential privacy guarantee we have  $\frac{2B}{\sigma n} \leq \frac{\tau}{8}$  so by Lemma 8

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{T}\left[q^{*}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q^{*}\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{8}\right] \leq \frac{\beta}{4}$$
(3)

Alternatively, in the case that

$$n \ge \max\left\{\frac{9984\ln\left(\frac{4m}{\beta}\right)\sqrt{32B\ln\left(\frac{1664\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{\beta\tau}\right)}}{\tau^2}, \frac{21632\ln\left(\frac{6656\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{\beta\tau}\right)}{\tau^2}\right\}$$

then, choosing  $\delta = \frac{\beta \tau}{832 \ln(\frac{208}{2})}$ , under the approximate differential privacy guarantee we have

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{32B\ln\left(2/\delta\right)}}{\sigma n},\delta\right) \preceq \left(\frac{\tau}{104},\frac{\beta\tau}{832\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}\right) \tag{4}$$

so by Lemma 9

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{T}\left[q^{*}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q^{*}\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{8}\right] \le \frac{\beta}{4}$$

$$(5)$$

Therefore, in either case  $\mathbb{P}\left[|\mathcal{E}_T[q^*] - \mathbb{E}[q^*]| > \frac{\tau}{8}\right] \leq \frac{\beta}{4}$ .

Next, we note that the random variable  $\lambda$  is sampled at most m times, and the random variables  $\rho$  and  $\xi$  are sampled at most *B* times. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists i \ |\lambda_i| > \frac{\tau}{12}\right] \le m \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\operatorname{Laplace}\left(\frac{\tau}{12\ln\left(4m/\beta\right)}\right)\right| > \frac{\tau}{12}\right] \le \frac{\beta}{4} \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists i \ |\rho_i| > \frac{\tau}{24}\right] \le B \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\text{Laplace}\left(\frac{\tau}{24\ln\left(4m/\beta\right)}\right)\right| > \frac{\tau}{24}\right] \le \frac{\beta}{4} \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists i \ |\xi_i| > \frac{7\tau}{8}\right] \le B \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\text{Laplace}\left(\frac{\tau}{48\ln\left(4m/\beta\right)}\right)\right| > \frac{7\tau}{8}\right] \le \frac{\beta}{8}$$
(8)

For the rest of the proof, we condition on the events  $|\mathcal{E}_T[q^*] - \mathbb{E}[q^*]| \leq \frac{\tau}{8}$  and  $\forall i |\lambda_i| < \frac{\tau}{12}$ ,  $|\rho_i| < \frac{\tau}{24}$ , and  $|\xi_i| < \frac{7\tau}{8}$ . This event happens with probability  $1 - \frac{7\beta}{8}$ .

Consider two alternatives: either  $a^* = \mathcal{E}_T[q^*] + \xi^*$  or  $a^* = \mathcal{E}_S[q^*]$ . In the first case,

$$|a^{*} - \mathbb{E}[q^{*}]| \le |a^{*} - \mathcal{E}_{T}[q^{*}]| + |\xi^{*}| \le \frac{\tau}{8} + \frac{7\tau}{8} = \tau$$
(9)

In the second case, we also have that  $|\mathcal{E}_{S}[q^*] - \mathcal{E}_{T}[q^*]| < \zeta + \rho^* + \lambda^*$ , so

$$|a^{*} - \mathbb{E}[q^{*}]| \leq |\mathcal{E}_{S}[q^{*}] - \mathcal{E}_{T}[q^{*}]| + |\mathcal{E}_{T}[q^{*}] - \mathbb{E}[q^{*}]| \leq \zeta + |\rho^{*}| + |\lambda^{*}| + \frac{\tau}{8} \leq \frac{3\tau}{4} + \frac{\tau}{24} + \frac{\tau}{12} + \frac{\tau}{8} = \tau$$
(10)

Therefore, for all queries before THRESHOLDOUT halts,  $|a_i - \mathbb{E}[q_i]| \leq \tau$ .

Next, observe that if q is a non-adaptive query, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[q\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{4}\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{T}\left[q\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q\right]\right| > \frac{\tau}{4}\right] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{\tau^{2}n}{8}\right) \le 2\exp\left(50\ln\left(\frac{\beta}{4m}\right)\right) \le \frac{2\beta}{m \cdot 4^{50}}\right) \le \frac{2\beta}{m \cdot 4^{50}}$$

Therefore, with probability at least  $1 - \frac{\beta}{8}$ , for all non-adaptive queries  $|\mathcal{E}_S[q] - \mathcal{E}_T[q]| \leq \frac{\tau}{2}$ . Furthermore,

$$\zeta + \rho + \lambda \ge \frac{3\tau}{4} - \frac{\tau}{24} - \frac{\tau}{12} = \frac{5\tau}{8}$$

$$\text{es } |\mathcal{E}_S[q_i] - \mathcal{E}_T[q_i]| \le \zeta + \rho_i + \lambda_i, \text{ so } o_i = \bot.$$

$$(12)$$

Thus, for all non-adaptive queries  $|\mathcal{E}_S[q_i] - \mathcal{E}_T[q_i]| \leq \zeta + \rho_i + \lambda_i$ , so  $o_i = \bot$ .

#### **Guarantees of EVERLASTINGTO** D

**Theorem 6.** [Validity] For any  $\tau, \beta, p \in (0, 1)$  and for a sufficiently large initial budget and for any sequence of queries, EVERLASTINGTO returns answers such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists i \ |a_i - \mathbb{E}\left[q_i\right]| > \tau\right] < \beta$$

*Proof.* In round t, the algorithm uses an instance of THRESHOLDOUT with  $N_t$  samples for the datasets  $S_t$  and  $T_t$ , so to answer  $M_t$  total queries of which at most  $B_t$  overfit we need both

$$N_t = ne^t \ge \frac{21632 \ln \left(\frac{6656 \ln \left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{\tau\beta_t}\right)}{\tau^2} \tag{13}$$

$$N_t = ne^t \ge \frac{9984 \ln\left(\frac{4M_t}{\beta_t}\right) \sqrt{32B_t \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{\tau\beta_t}\right)}}{\tau^2} \tag{14}$$

## **Algorithm 5** EVERLASTINGTO $(\tau, \beta, p)$

1: Require sufficiently large initial budget n (see proof of Theorem 6) 2:  $\forall t \text{ set } N_t = ne^t, \beta_t = \frac{(e-1)\beta}{e}e^{-t}, B_t = \frac{\tau^4 N_t^{2-2p}}{8\cdot 9984^2 \ln\left(\frac{1664\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{\tau\beta_t}\right)}, M_t = \frac{\beta_t}{4}\exp\left(2N_t^p\right)$ 3: for t = 0, 1, ... do Purchase datasets  $S_t, T_t \sim \mathcal{D}^{N_t}$  and initialize THRESHOLDOUT $(S_t, T_t, B_t, \beta_t)$ 4: while THRESHOLDOUT $(S_t, T_t, B_t, \beta_t)$  has not halted do 5: Accept query q6:  $(a, o) = \text{THRESHOLDOUT}(S_t, T_t, B_t, \beta_t)(q)$ 7: **Output**: *a* 8: if  $o = \perp$  then Charge:  $\frac{2N_{t+1}}{M_t}$ 9: 10: 11: else **Charge**:  $\frac{2N_{t+1}}{B_t}$ 12:

in order to satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 5. Setting the constant n such that

$$n \ge \frac{21632\left(1 + \ln\left(\frac{6656e\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)\right)}{\tau^2} \tag{15}$$

ensures that (13) holds. Furthermore, with our choice of

$$B_t = \frac{\tau^4 N_t^{2-2p}}{8 \cdot 9984^2 \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{\tau\beta_t}\right)}$$
(16)

the condition (14) allows us to answer  $M_t = \frac{\beta_t}{4} \exp{(2N_t^p)}$  total queries.

We also need to ensure that  $1 \le B_t \le M_t \forall t$  in order to ensure that THRESHOLDOUT has sound parameters. To satisfy  $1 \le B_t$  requires the initial budget n to be sufficiently large as  $p \to 1$ .

$$1 \leq \frac{\tau^4 \left(ne^t\right)^{2-2p}}{8 \cdot 9984^2 \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{\tau\beta_t}\right)} \forall t \iff n \geq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-t} \left(\frac{8 \cdot 9984^2 \left(t + \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)\right)}{\tau^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-2p}}$$
(17)

By Lemma 10, it thus suffices to choose

$$n \ge \left(\frac{8 \cdot 9984^2 \ln\left(\frac{1664e \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)}{\tau^4} + \frac{4 \cdot 9984^2}{(1-p)\tau^4}\right)^{\frac{2-2p}{2-2p}}$$
(18)

At the same time, we need the initial budget to be large enough that  $\forall t B_t \leq M_t$ :

$$M_t \ge B_t \qquad \forall t \tag{19}$$

1

$$\leftarrow \frac{(e-1)\beta}{4e} \exp\left(2n^p e^{pt} - t\right) \ge \frac{\tau^4 \left(ne^t\right)^{2-2p}}{8 \cdot 9984^2 \ln\left(\frac{1664e \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)} \qquad \forall t$$
(20)

$$\iff \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} 2n^{p} e^{pt} - (3 - 2p)t - (2 - 2p) \ln n \ge \ln\left(\frac{e\tau^{4}}{2 \cdot 9984^{2}(e - 1)\beta \ln\left(\frac{1664e \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e - 1)\tau\beta}\right)}\right)$$
(21)

By Lemma 11, the infimum can be lower bounded by  $\ln n - \frac{3-2p}{p} \ln \frac{3-2p}{2ep}$  when  $n \ge \left(\frac{3-2p}{2p}\right)^{1/p}$ . Therefore,  $\forall t \ B_t \le M_t$  is implied by

$$n \ge \max\left\{\frac{e\tau^4 \left(\frac{3-2p}{2ep}\right)^{\frac{3-2p}{p}}}{2 \cdot 9984^2 (e-1)\beta \ln\left(\frac{1664e \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)}, \left(\frac{3-2p}{2p}\right)^{1/p}\right\} \iff n \ge \left(\frac{3-2p}{2p}\right)^{\frac{3-2p}{p}}$$
(22)

Therefore, in order to satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 5, we require from (15), (18), and (22) that

$$n \ge \max\left\{\frac{21632\ln\left(\frac{6656e^{2}\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)}{\tau^{2}}, \left(\frac{8 \cdot 9984^{2}\ln\left(\frac{1664e\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)}{\tau^{4}} + \frac{4 \cdot 9984^{2}}{(1-p)\tau^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-2p}, \left(\frac{3-2p}{2p}\right)^{\frac{3-2p}{p}}\right\}$$

$$(23)$$

Generally speaking, the first term will dominate when p is relatively far from both zero and one, the second term will dominate as  $p \to 1$ , and the third term will dominate when  $p \to 0$ .

By Theorem 5, in round t, all answers returned by THRESHOLDOUT satisfy  $|a_i - \mathbb{E}[q_i]| \le \tau$  with probability  $1 - \beta_t$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists i \ |a_i - \mathbb{E}\left[q_i\right]| > \tau\right] \le \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_t = \frac{(e-1)\beta}{e} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-t} = \beta$$
(24)

**Theorem 7.** [Sustainability] For any  $\tau$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$  and any sequence of queries, EVERLASTINGTO charges enough for queries such that it can always afford to buy new datasets, excluding the initial budget.

*Proof.* The  $t^{\text{th}}$  instance of THRESHOLDOUT halts only after it has either answered  $M_t$  total queries or at least  $B_t$  queries with  $o = \top$ . In the first case, the total revenue is at least  $M_t \cdot \frac{2N_{t+1}}{M_t} = 2N_{t+1}$  and in the latter case, the total revenue is at least  $B_t \cdot \frac{2N_{t+1}}{B_t} = 2N_{t+1}$ . Either way, it can affort to buy  $S_{t+1}, T_{t+1}$ , which have size  $N_{t+1}$  each.

**Theorem 8.** [Non-Adaptive Cost] For any  $\tau, \beta, p \in (0, 1)$ , a sufficiently large initial budget, and any sequence of querying rules, the total cost,  $\Pi$ , to a non-adaptive user who makes M queries to EVERLASTINGTO satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\Pi > 2e^{3}\ln^{1/p}\left(\frac{eM}{(e-1)\beta}\right)\right] \le \beta$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 5's guarantee on THRESHOLDOUT and a union bound over all t, all non-adaptive queries are answered with  $o = \bot$  with probability at least  $1 - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_t = 1 - \beta$ . For the rest of the proof, we condition on this event.

First, observe that the cost of a query with  $o = \perp$  is non-increasing over time, so the cost of any M non-adaptive queries is no more than the cost of making the *first* M non-adaptive queries. Let T be the round in which the M<sup>th</sup> non-adaptive query is made if no adaptive queries are made.

Let  $\Pi$  be the total amount paid. This is at most the total number of samples used in rounds 1 through T + 1, i.e.

$$\Pi \le \sum_{t=1}^{T+1} 2N_t = 2n \sum_{t=1}^{T+1} e^t \le 2n e^{T+2}$$
(25)

Furthermore, the total number of queries made satisfies

$$M \ge M_{T-1} = \beta_{T-1} \exp\left(2N_{T-1}^{p}\right)$$
(26)

which implies

$$\ln\left(\frac{eM}{(e-1)\beta}\right) \ge 2N_{T-1}^p - (T-1) \ge N_{T-1}^p = n^p e^{p(T-1)}$$
(27)

where we use the fact that  $n \ge (1/p)^{1/p}$  (see proof of Theorem 6) which implies  $N_{T-1}^p = n^p e^{p(T-1)} \ge \frac{e^{p(T-1)}}{p} \ge \frac{p(T-1)}{p} = T - 1$ . Combining (25) and (27),

$$\Pi \le 2ne^{T+2} \le 2e^3 \ln^{1/p} \left(\frac{eM}{(e-1)\beta}\right) \tag{28}$$

**Theorem 9.** [Adaptive Cost] For any  $\tau, \beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$ , a sufficiently large initial budget, and any sequence of querying rules, the total cost,  $\Pi$ , to a user who makes B potentially adaptive queries to EVERLASTINGTO satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\Pi \le 2e^2 \left(\frac{8 \cdot 9984^2 eB \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)}{\tau^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-3p}}\right] = 1$$

*Proof.* First, observe that the cost of a query is non-increasing over time, so the cost of any B adaptive queries is no more than the cost of making the *first* B adaptive queries. Furthermore, adaptive queries may be answered with either  $\top$  or  $\bot$ , but since  $B_t \leq M_t \forall t$ , the cost of an adaptive query in round t is no more than  $\frac{2N_{t+1}}{B_t}$ . Let T be the round in which the  $B^{\text{th}}$  adaptive query is made. Let  $\Pi$  be the total amount paid. This is at most the total number of samples used in rounds 1 through T + 1, i.e.

$$\Pi \le \sum_{t=1}^{T+1} 2N_t = 2n \sum_{t=1}^{T+1} e^t \le 2n e^{T+2}$$
(29)

Furthermore, the total number of adaptive queries is

=

$$B \ge \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} B_t = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{\tau^4 N_t^{2-2p}}{8 \cdot 9984^2 \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{\tau\beta_t}\right)}$$
(30)

$$\geq \frac{\tau^4}{8 \cdot 9984^2 \left(T - 1 + \ln\left(\frac{1664e \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)\right)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} N_t^{2-2p} \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{\tau^4 n^{2-2p}}{8 \cdot 9984^2 \left(T + \ln\left(\frac{1664\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)\right)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} e^{t(2-2p)}$$
(32)

$$\geq \frac{\tau^4 n^{2-2p} \left( e^{T(2-2p)} - 1 \right)}{2 \left( 2 - 2p \right) \left( \frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{2}\right)}{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{2}\right)} \right)}$$
(33)

$$\geq \frac{\tau^4 n^{2-2p} e^{T(2-2p)-1}}{(1-1)^{\tau} \beta^{-2}}$$
(34)

$$\frac{1}{8 \cdot 9984^2 T \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)} \tag{34}$$

Where in the last inequality we used that  $p < \frac{2}{3}$  so  $e^{T(2-2p)} - 1 \ge e^{T(2-2p)-1}$ . Since  $n \ge (1/p)^{1/p}$  (see proof of Theorem 6), it is also the case that  $n^p e^{pT} \ge T$ . Picking up from (34), we have

$$\frac{8 \cdot 9984^2 B \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)}{\tau^4} \ge \frac{n^{2-2p} e^{T(2-2p)-1}}{n^p e^{pT}} = n^{2-3p} e^{T(2-3p)-1}$$
(35)

thus

$$ne^{T} \le \left(\frac{8 \cdot 9984^{2} eB \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)}{\tau^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-3p}}$$
(36)

Combining (29) and (36), we get that

$$\Pi \le 2ne^{T+2} \le 2e^2 \left( \frac{8 \cdot 9984^2 eB \ln\left(\frac{1664 \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)}{\tau^4} \right)^{\frac{2-3p}{\tau}} \tag{37}$$

To expand on the guarantees of Theorems 8 and 9, p is a parameter of the algorithm that can be chosen roughly in the range (0, 1). These theorems could be stated instead in terms of the quantity a = 1/p, which lies generally in the range  $(1, \infty)$ . In this case, a sequence of M non-adaptive queries would cost (with high probability) at most  $\mathcal{O}(\ln^a M)$ , and a sequence of M adaptive queries would cost at most  $\mathcal{O}(B^{\frac{a}{2a-3}})$ . That is, when a is near 1, we approach the optimal  $\log M$  cost for non-adaptive queries at the expense of a very large (exploding) cost of adaptive queries. On the other hand, as we made a very large, we approach the optimal  $\sqrt{M}$  cost for adaptive queries at the expense of more expensive polylog cost for non-adaptive queries. In this way, the parameter p trades off between placing the burden of adaptivity directly on the adaptive queries themselves and spreading it out over potentially non-adaptive queries too.

**Lemma 10.** *For any*  $\beta, \tau, p \in (0, 1)$ *,* 

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-t} \left( \frac{8 \cdot 9984^2 \left( t + \ln\left(\frac{1664e \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right) \right)}{\tau^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-2p}} \le \left( \frac{8 \cdot 9984^2}{\tau^4} \left( \ln\left(\frac{1664e \ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right) + \frac{1}{2-2p} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2-2p}}$$

*Proof.* For brevity, let  $a := \frac{8 \cdot 9984^2}{\tau^4}$ , let  $b := \ln\left(\frac{1664e\ln\left(\frac{208}{\tau}\right)}{(e-1)\tau\beta}\right)$ , and let  $c = \frac{1}{2-2p}$ , note that a, b, c > 0. We are thus interested in upper bounding  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-t} (at + ab)^c$ . First,

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-t}(at+ab)^{c} = ace^{-t}(at+ab)^{c-1} - e^{-t}(at+ab)^{c}$$
(38)

and

$$ace^{-t}(at+ab)^{c-1} - e^{-t}(at+ab)^{c} = 0 \iff t = c - b \text{ or } t = -b \text{ or } t \to \infty$$
 (39)

Since we are only optimizing over  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and b > 0, we do not need to consider the critical point t = -b. Furthermore,

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} e^{-t} \left( at + ab \right)^c \right|_{t=c-b} = -\frac{1}{c} (ac)^c e^{b-c} < 0 \tag{40}$$

Therefore, the critical point at t = c - b is a local maximum. Therefore, the only points we need to consider are when  $t = 0, t \to \infty$ , and t = c - b if  $c \ge b$ .

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-t} (at + ab)^c \le \begin{cases} (ab)^c & b > c \\ \max\{(ab)^c, e^{b-c}(ac)^c\} & c \ge b \end{cases} \le a^c (b+c)^c$$
(41)

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 11.** For any  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $n \ge 1$ 

$$\inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} 2n^p e^{pt} - (3 - 2p)t - (2 - 2p)\ln n \ge \min\left\{\ln n - \frac{3 - 2p}{p}\ln\frac{3 - 2p}{2ep}, \ 2n^p - (2 - 2p)\ln n\right\}$$
  
and the first term is the minimizer when  $n \ge \left(\frac{3 - 2p}{2p}\right)^{1/p}$ 

*Proof.* First, note that this is a convex function in t and

$$\frac{d}{dt}2n^{p}e^{pt} - (3-2p)t - (2-2p)\ln n = 2pn^{p}e^{pt} - 3 + 2p$$
(42)

and

$$2pn^{p}e^{pt} - 3 + 2p = 0 \iff t = \frac{1}{p}\ln\frac{3-2p}{2p} - \ln n$$
(43)

Therefore, if  $\frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{3-2p}{2p} - \ln n \ge 0$  then

$$\inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} 2n^p e^{pt} - (3-2p)t - (2-2p)\ln n \ge \ln n - \frac{3-2p}{p}\ln \frac{3-2p}{2ep}$$
(44)

Otherwise, if  $\frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{3-2p}{2p} - \ln n < 0$ 

$$\inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} 2n^p e^{pt} - (3-2p)t - (2-2p)\ln n \ge 2n^p - (2-2p)\ln n \tag{45}$$

Thus,

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{N}} 2n^p e^{pt} - (3-2p)t - (2-2p)\ln n \ge \min\left\{\ln n - \frac{3-2p}{p}\ln\frac{3-2p}{2ep}, \ 2n^p - (2-2p)\ln n\right\}$$
(46)

# **E** Relevant Results in Differential Privacy

Here, we state without proof definitions and results from other work which we use in the proof of Lemma 6.

**Definition 1.** A randomized algorithm  $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{X}^* \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$  is  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -differentially private if for all  $E \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$  and all datasets  $S, S' \in \mathcal{X}^*$  differing in a single element:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathcal{M}(S) \in E\right] \le e^{\epsilon} \mathbb{P}\left[\mathcal{M}(S') \in E\right] + \delta.$$

**Proposition 1** ([4, 18]). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -differentially private algorithm that outputs a function from  $\mathcal{X}$  to [0,1]. For a random variable  $S \sim \mathcal{D}^n$  we let  $q = \mathcal{M}(S)$ . Then for  $n \ge 2\ln(8/\delta)/\epsilon^2$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\mathcal{E}_{S}\left[q\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[q\right]\right| \ge 13\epsilon\right] \le \frac{2\delta}{\epsilon}\ln\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right).$$

**Definition 2** (Definition 1.1 [6]). A randomized mechanism  $M : \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathcal{Y}$  is  $\rho$ -zero-concentrated differentially private (henceforth  $\rho$ -zCDP) if, for all  $S, S' \in \mathcal{X}^n$  differing on a single entry and all  $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ ,

$$D_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{M}(S)||\mathcal{M}(S')\right) \le \rho \alpha_{\beta}$$

where  $D_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}(S)||\mathcal{M}(S'))$  is the  $\alpha$ -Rényi divergence between the distribution of  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(S')$ .

**Proposition 2** (Proposition 1.6 [6]). Let q be a statistical query. Consider the mechanism  $\mathcal{M}$  :  $\mathcal{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  that on input S, releases a sample from  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{E}_S[q], \sigma^2)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\frac{1}{2n^2\sigma^2}$ -zCDP.

**Proposition 3** (Lemma 1.7 [6]). Let  $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{M}' : \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathcal{Z}$  be randomized algorithms. Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\rho$ -zCDP and  $\mathcal{M}'$  satisfies  $\rho'$ -zCDP. Define  $\mathcal{M}'' : \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$  by  $\mathcal{M}''(x) = (\mathcal{M}(x), \mathcal{M}'(x))$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}''$  satisfies  $(\rho + \rho')$ -zCDP.

**Proposition 4** (Proposition 1.3 [6]). If  $\mathcal{M}$  provides  $\rho$ -zCDP, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\left(\rho + 2\sqrt{\rho \ln(1/\delta)}, \delta\right)$ -differentially private for any  $\delta > 0$ .