## 482 A Proofs

Lemma 15 (A one-step good policy is close to optimal). Let  $\Delta(h) := |V_{\xi}^{*}(h) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h)|$  with  $h \in (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{E})^{t}$  for  $t \geq t_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{split} & \textit{If} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\xi}^{\pi} |\max_{a} Q_{\xi}^{\pi}(h,a) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h)| < \beta \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \\ & \textit{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\xi}^{\pi} [\max_{a} \sum_{e} \xi(e|ha) \Delta(hae)] \leq (1+\alpha) \mathbb{E}_{\xi}^{\pi} \Delta(hae) \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \\ & \textit{then} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\xi}^{\pi} \Delta(h) < \frac{\beta}{1-\gamma(1+\alpha)} \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \quad \textit{provided} \quad 1+\alpha < 1/\gamma \end{split}$$

 $\text{485} \quad \textit{Proof. Let } \delta := \sup_{t \ge t_0} \mathbb{E}\Delta(h) \text{, where } h \in (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{E})^t \text{ and } \mathbb{E} \text{ is short for } \mathbb{E}_{\xi}^{\pi}.$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\Delta(h) &= \left| \max_{a} Q_{\xi}^{*}(h,a) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h) \right| \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left| \max_{a} Q_{\xi}^{*}(h,a) - \max_{a} Q_{\xi}^{\pi}(h,a) + \max_{a} Q_{\xi}^{\pi}(h,a) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h) \right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left| \max_{a} Q_{\xi}^{\pi}(h,a) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h) \right| + \mathbb{E} \left| \max_{a} Q_{\xi}^{*}(h,a) - \max_{a} Q_{\xi}^{\pi}(h,a) \right| \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \beta + \mathbb{E} \left| \max_{a} \sum_{e} \xi(e|ha) \left( r + \gamma V_{\xi}^{*}(hae) \right) - \max_{a} \sum_{e} \xi(e|ha) \left( r + \gamma V_{\xi}^{\pi}(hae) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \beta + \gamma \mathbb{E} \max_{a} \sum_{e} \xi(e|ha) |V_{\xi}^{*}(hae) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(hae)| \\ &\leq \beta + \gamma(1+\alpha) \mathbb{E}\Delta(hae) \end{split}$$

486 Taking  $\sup_{t \ge t_0}$  on both sides implies  $\delta < \beta + \gamma(1+\alpha)\delta$  implies  $\delta < \beta/(1-\gamma(1+\alpha))$ .  $\Box$ 

487 Lemma 17 ( $\mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{\xi} \to 0$  implies  $\mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{\mu} \to 0$ ). If  $\pi$  is such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi}^{\pi} \left[ V_{\xi}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h_{< t}) \right] \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

488 then for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{\mu} \left[ V^*_{\xi}(h_{< t}) - V^{\pi}_{\xi}(h_{< t}) \right] \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}^{\pi} \left[ V_{\xi}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h_{< t}) \right] \leq \frac{1}{w(\mu)} \mathbb{E}_{\xi}^{\pi} \left[ V_{\xi}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h_{< t}) \right] \to 0$$

489 by the dominance of  $\xi(\cdot) \ge w(\mu)\mu(\cdot)$ .

490 **Lemma 20**  $(V_{\xi}^{\pi'} \to V_{\xi}^{\pi} \text{ implies } V_{\mu}^{\pi'} \to V_{\mu}^{\pi} \text{ in } \mu\text{-expectation})$ . If  $\pi$  is such that for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{\mu}\left[V^{\pi'}_{\xi}(h_{< t}) - V^{\pi}_{\xi}(h_{< t})\right] \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

491 and  $D_{\infty}(\mu^{\pi'},\xi^{\pi'}|h_{< t}) \rightarrow 0 \ \mu^{\pi}$ -almost surely then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}^{\pi'} \left[ V_{\mu}^{\pi'}(h_{< t}) - V_{\mu}^{\pi}(h_{< t}) \right] \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}_{\mu}^{\pi} \left[ |V_{\mu}^{\pi'}(h_{< t}) - V_{\mu}^{\pi}(h_{< t})| \right] \\ & = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}^{\pi} \left[ |V_{\mu}^{\pi'}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi'}(h_{< t}) + V_{\xi}^{\pi'}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h_{< t}) + V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h_{< t}) - V_{\mu}^{\pi}(h_{< t})| \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu}^{\pi} \left[ |V_{\mu}^{\pi'}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi'}(h_{< t})| \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mu}^{\pi} \left[ |V_{\xi}^{\pi'}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h_{< t})| \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mu}^{\pi} \left[ |V_{\xi}^{\pi'}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi}(h_{< t})| \right] \\ \end{split}$$

The second and third term go to 0 as  $t \to \infty$  by the assumptions and Lemma 3 with Lemma 13. The first term goes to 0 as  $D_{\infty}(\mu^{\pi'}, \xi^{\pi'}|h_{< t}) \to 0 \ \mu^{\pi}$ -almost surely implies  $\mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{\mu} \left[ D_{\infty}(\mu^{\pi'}, \xi^{\pi'}|h_{< t}) \right] \to 0$ and we have  $\mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{\mu} \left[ |V^{\pi'}_{\mu}(h_{< t}) - V^{\pi'}_{\xi}(h_{< t})| \right] \leq \mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{\mu} \left[ D_{\infty}(\mu^{\pi'}, \xi^{\pi'}|h_{< t}) \right].$ 

**Theorem 22** (Self-AIXI is Self-optimizing). Let  $\mu$  be some environment. If there is a policy and a sequence of policies  $\overline{\pi_1}, \overline{\pi_2} \dots$  all contained within  $\mathcal{P}$  such that for all  $t, h_{< t}$  we have  $V_{\xi}^{\zeta}(h_{< t}) \geq V_{\xi}^{\overline{\pi_t}}(h_{< t}) - \epsilon_t$  with  $\epsilon_t \to 0$ , and for all  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$ 

$$V_{\nu}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\nu}^{\overline{\pi_{t}}}(h_{< t}) \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to \infty \quad \mu^{\pi} \text{-almost surely}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

499 *then* 

$$V_{\nu}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\nu}^{\pi_{S}}(h_{< t}) \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to \infty \quad \mu^{\pi}\text{-almost surely}$$

If  $\pi = \pi_S$  and Equation 4 holds for all  $\mu \in M$ , then  $\pi_S$  is strongly asymptotically optimal in the class  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Proof.

$$0 \le w(\mu|h_{< t}) \left( V_{\mu}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\mu}^{\pi_{S}}(h_{< t}) \right)$$
(5)

$$\leq \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}} w(\nu | h_{< t}) \left( V_{\nu}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\nu}^{\pi_{S}}(h_{< t}) \right)$$
(6)

$$= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}} w(\nu | h_{< t}) V_{\nu}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\pi_{S}}(h_{< t})$$
(7)

$$\leq \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}} w(\nu | h_{< t}) V_{\nu}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\zeta}(h_{< t})$$
(8)

$$\leq \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}} w(\nu|h_{< t}) V_{\nu}^*(h_{< t}) - V_{\xi}^{\overline{\pi_t}}(h_{< t}) + \epsilon_t \tag{9}$$

$$= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}} w(\nu | h_{< t}) \left( V_{\nu}^{*}(h_{< t}) - V_{\nu}^{\overline{\pi_{t}}}(h_{< t}) \right) + \epsilon_{t}$$
(10)

$$\rightarrow 0$$
 (11)

- Equation 6 comes from adding positive terms. Equations 7 and 10 comes from the linearity of the value function. Equation 8 comes from  $\pi_S$  being one step optimal then following  $\zeta$  and . Equation 9
- comes from the assumptions. Lastly, 11 comes from Equation 4 and [14, Lem.5.28ii].
- 505  $w(\mu|h_{< t}) \neq 0$  as  $h_{< t}$  is generated from  $\mu^{\pi}$  (for more details see Self-Optimizing proof in [14]). 506 Therefore  $V^*_{\mu}(h_{< t}) - V^{\pi_S}_{\mu}(h_{< t}) \rightarrow 0 \ \mu^{\pi}$ -almost surely.
- 507