Efficient Nonparametric Smoothness Estimation: Supplementary Information

Shashank SinghSimon S. DuBarnabás PóczosCarnegie Mellon University
sss1@andrew.cmu.eduCarnegie Mellon University
ssdu@cs.cmu.eduCarnegie Mellon University
bapoczos@cs.cmu.edu

1 Proof of Variance Bound

Theorem 2. (Variance Bound) If $p, q \in H^{s'}$ for some s' > s, then

$$\mathbb{V}\left[\hat{S}_n\right] \le 2C_1 \frac{Z_n^{4s+D}}{n^2} + \frac{C_2}{n},\tag{1}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the constants (in n)

$$C_1 := \frac{2^D \Gamma(4s+1)}{\Gamma(4s+D+1)} \|p\|_{L^2} \|q\|_{L^2}$$

and $C_2 := (\|p\|_{H^s} + \|q\|_{H^s}) \|p\|_{W^{2s,4}} \|q\|_{W^{2s,4}} + \|p\|_{H^s}^4 \|q\|_{H^s}^4.$

Proof: We will use the Efron-Stein inequality [Efron and Stein, 1981] to bound the variance of \hat{S}_n . To do this, suppose we were to draw n additional IID samples $X'_1, \ldots, X'_n \sim p$, and define, for all $\ell, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$X_j^{(\ell)} = \begin{cases} X_j' & \text{if } j = \ell \\ X_j & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\hat{S}_n^{(\ell)} := \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{|z| \le Z_n} z^{2s} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_z(X_j^{(\ell)}) \overline{\psi_z(Y_k)}$$

denote our estimate when we replace X_{ℓ} by X'_{ℓ} . Noting the symmetry of \hat{S}_n in p and q, the Efron-Stein inequality tells us that

$$\mathbb{V}\left[\hat{S}_{n}\right] \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\hat{S}_{n} - \hat{S}_{n}^{(\ell)}\right|^{2}\right],\tag{2}$$

where the expectation above (and elsewhere in this section) is taken over all 3n samples $X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}, X'_1, \ldots, X'_{2n}, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$. Expanding the difference in (2), note that any terms with $j \neq \ell$ cancel, so that ¹

$$\hat{S}_n - \hat{S}_n^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{|z| \le Z_n} z^{2s} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_z(X_j) \overline{\psi_z(Y_k)} - \psi_z(X_j^{(\ell)}) \overline{\psi_z(Y_k)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{|z| \le Z_n} z^{2s} (\psi_z(X_\ell) - \psi_z(X_\ell')) \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_{-z}(Y_k),$$

and so

 $\left|\hat{S}_n - \hat{S}_n^{(\ell)}\right|^2$

¹It is useful here to note that $\overline{\psi_z(x)} = \psi_{-z}(x)$ and that $\psi_y \psi_z = \psi_{y+z}$.

30th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2016), Barcelona, Spain.

$$= \frac{1}{n^4} \sum_{|y|,|z| \le Z_n} (yz)^{2s} (\psi_y(X_\ell) - \psi_y(X'_\ell)) (\psi_{-z}(X_\ell) - \psi_{-z}(X'_\ell)) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \psi_{-y}(Y_k)\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \psi_z(Y_k)\right).$$
(3)

Since X_{ℓ} and X'_{ℓ} are IID,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\psi_y(X_\ell) - \psi_y(X'_\ell)\right)\left(\psi_{-z}(X_\ell) - \psi_{-z}(X'_\ell)\right)\right] = 2\left(\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}\left[\psi_{y-z}(X)\right] - \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}\left[\psi_y(X)\right]\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}\left[\psi_{-z}(X)\right]\right)$$
$$= 2\left(\widetilde{p}(y-z) - \widetilde{p}(y)\widetilde{p}(-z)\right),$$

and, since Y_1, \ldots, Y_n are IID,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi_{-y}(Y_{k})\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi_{z}(Y_{k})\right)\right] = n \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim q}\left[\psi_{z-y}(Y)\right] + n(n-1)\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim q}\left[\psi_{-y}(Y)\right]\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim q}\left[\psi_{z}(Y)\right]$$
$$= n\widetilde{q}(z-y) + n(n-1)\widetilde{q}(-y)\widetilde{q}(z).$$

In view of these two equalities, taking the expectation of (3) and using the fact that X_{ℓ} and X'_{ℓ} are independent of X_{n+1}, \ldots, X_{2n} , (3) reduces:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\hat{S}_{n}-\hat{S}_{n}^{(\ell)}\right|^{2}\right] = \frac{2}{n^{3}} \sum_{|y|,|z| \leq Z_{n}} (yz)^{2s} \left(\widetilde{p}(y-z)-\widetilde{p}(y)\widetilde{p}(-z)\right) \left(\widetilde{q}(z-y)+(n-1)\widetilde{q}(-y)\widetilde{q}(z)\right)$$
$$= \frac{2}{n^{3}} \sum_{|y|,|z| \leq Z_{n}} (yz)^{2s} \left(\widetilde{p}(y-z)\widetilde{q}(z-y)-\widetilde{p}(y)\widetilde{p}(-z)\widetilde{q}(z-y)\right)$$
$$+ (n-1)\widetilde{p}(y-z)\widetilde{q}(-y)\widetilde{q}(z) - (n-1)\widetilde{p}(y)\widetilde{p}(-z)\widetilde{q}(-y)\widetilde{q}(z)\right).$$
(4)

We now need to bound following terms in magnitude:

$$\sum_{|y|,|z| \le Z_n} (yz)^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y-z) \widetilde{q}(z-y), \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{|y|,|z| \le Z_n} (yz)^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y-z) \widetilde{q}(-y) \widetilde{q}(z), \tag{6}$$

and
$$\sum_{|y|,|z| \le Z_n} (yz)^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y) \widetilde{p}(-z) \widetilde{q}(-y) \widetilde{q}(z)$$
(7)

(the second term in (4) is bounded identically to the third term).

To bound (5), we perform a change of variables, replacing y by k = y - z:

$$\sum_{|y|,|z| \le Z_n} (yz)^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y-z) \widetilde{q}(z-y) = \sum_{|k| \le 2Z_n} \widetilde{p}(k) \widetilde{q}(-k) \sum_{j=1}^D \sum_{z_j = \max\{-Z_n, k_j - Z_n\}}^{\min\{Z_n, k_j + Z_n\}} ((k-z)z)^{2s}$$
(8)
$$\frac{2^D \Gamma(4s+1)}{2^D \Gamma(4s+1)} = \sum_{|k| \le 2Z_n} \widetilde{p}(k) \widetilde{q}(-k) \sum_{j=1}^D \sum_{z_j = \max\{-Z_n, k_j - Z_n\}}^{\min\{Z_n, k_j + Z_n\}} ((k-z)z)^{2s}$$
(8)

$$\leq \frac{2^{D}\Gamma(4s+1)}{\Gamma(4s+D+1)} Z_{n}^{4s+D} \sum_{|k| \leq 2Z_{n}} \widetilde{p}(k) \widetilde{q}(-k)$$
(9)

$$\leq C_1 Z_n^{4s+D},\tag{10}$$

where C_1 is the constant (in n and Z_n)

$$C_1 := \frac{2^D \Gamma(4s+1)}{\Gamma(4s+D+1)} \|p\|_2 \|q\|_2.$$
(11)

(8) and (9) follow from observing that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{D} \sum_{z_j=\max\{-Z_n, k_j-Z_n\}}^{\min\{Z_n, k_j+Z_n\}} ((k_j - z_j)z_j)^{2s} = (f * f)(k_j),$$

where $f(z) := z^{2s} \mathbb{1}_{\{|z| \le Z_n\}}, \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^D$ and * denotes convolution (over \mathbb{Z}^D). This convolution is clearly maximized when k = 0, in which case

$$(f*f)(k) = \sum_{|z| \le Z_n} z^{4s} \le \left(\int_{B_{\infty}(0,Z_n)} z^{4s} \, dz \right) = \frac{2^D \Gamma(4s+1)}{\Gamma(4s+D+1)} Z_n^{4s+D},$$

where we upper bounded the series by an integral over

$$B_{\infty}(0, Z_n) := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^D : \|z\|_{\infty} = \max\{|z_1|, ..., |z_D|\} \le Z_n \}.$$

(10) then follows via Cauchy-Schwarz.

Bounding (6) for general *s* is more involved and requires rigorously defining more elaborate notions from the theory distributions, but the basic idea is as follows:

$$\sum_{|y|,|z| \le Z_n} (yz)^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y-z) \widetilde{q}(-y) \widetilde{q}(z) = \sum_{|y| \le Z_n} y^{2s} \widetilde{q}(-y) \sum_{|z| \le Z_n} z^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y-z) \widetilde{q}(z)$$

$$= \sum_{|y|,|z| \le Z_n} y^{2s} \widetilde{q}(-y) \left(\widetilde{p_n^{(s)}q_n^{(s)}} \right) (y)$$

$$\le \sqrt{\sum_{|y| \le Z_n} y^{2s} |\widetilde{q}(y)|^2} \sum_{|y| \le Z_n} y^{2s} \left(\left(\widetilde{p^{(s)}q^{(s)}} \right) (y) \right)^2$$

$$= \|q\|_{H^s} \|p_n^{(s)}q_n^{(s)}\|_{H^s} \le \|q\|_{H^s} \|p_n\|_{W^{2s,4}} \|q_n\|_{W^{2s,4}}.$$
(12)

Here, $p_n^{(s)}$ and $q_n^{(s)}$ denote s-order fractional derivatives of p_n and q_n , respectively, and $W^{2s,4}$ is a Sobolev space (with associated pseudonorm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{2s,4}}$), which can be informally thought of as $W^{2s,4} := \left\{ p \in L^2 : \left(p^{(s)}\right)^2 \in H^s \right\}$. The equality between the first and second lines follows from Proposition 6, and both inequalities are simply applications of Cauchy-Schwarz. For sake of intuition, it can be noted that the above steps are relatively elementary when s = 0. Now, it suffices to note that, by the Rellich-Kondrachov embedding theorem [Rellich, 1930, Evans, 2010], $W^{2s,4} \subseteq H^{s'}$, and hence $\|p_n\|_{W^{2s,4}} \leq \|p\|_{W^{2s,4}} < \infty$, as long as $s' \geq 2s + \frac{D}{4}$.

Bounding (7) is a simple application of Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\sum_{|y|,|z| \le Z_n} (yz)^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y) \widetilde{p}(-z) \widetilde{q}(-y) \widetilde{q}(z) = \left(\sum_{|y| \le Z_n} y^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y) \widetilde{q}(-y) \right) \left(\sum_{|z| \le Z_n} z^{2s} \widetilde{p}(-z) \widetilde{q}(z) \right)$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{|z| \le Z_n} z^{2s} |\widetilde{p}(z)|^2 \right)^2 \left(\sum_{|z| \le Z_n} z^{2s} |\widetilde{q}(z)|^2 \right)^2$$
$$= \|p\|_{H^s}^4 \|q\|_{H^s}^4$$
(13)

Plugging (10), (12), and (13) into (4) gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\hat{S}_{n} - \hat{S}_{n}^{(\ell)}\right|^{2}\right] \leq 2C_{1}\frac{Z_{n}^{4s+D}}{n^{3}} + \frac{C_{2}}{n^{2}}$$

where C_2 denotes the constant (in *n* and Z_n)

$$C_2 := (\|p\|_{H^s} + \|q\|_{H^s}) \|p\|_{W^{2s,4}} \|q\|_{W^{2s,4}} + \|p\|_{H^s}^4 \|q\|_{H^s}^4.$$
(14)

Plugging this into the Efron-Stein inequality (2) gives, by symmetry of \hat{S}_n in $X_1, ..., X_n$,

$$\mathbb{V}\left[\hat{S}_n\right] \le 2C_1 \frac{Z_n^{4s+D}}{n^2} + \frac{C_2}{n}$$

2 **Proofs of Asymptotic Distributions**

Theorem 4. (Asymptotic Normality) Suppose that, for some $s' > 2s + \frac{D}{4}$, $p, q \in H^{s'}$, and suppose $Z_n n^{\frac{1}{4(s-s')}} \to \infty$ and $Z_n n^{-\frac{1}{4s+D}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, \hat{S}_n is asymptotically normal with mean $\langle p, q \rangle$. In particular, for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, define the following quantities:

$$W_{j} := \begin{bmatrix} Z_{n}^{s} e^{iZ_{n}X_{j}} \\ \vdots \\ e^{iX_{j}} \\ e^{iX_{j}} \\ \vdots \\ Z_{n}^{s} e^{-iZ_{n}X_{j}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_{j} := \begin{bmatrix} Z_{n}^{s} e^{iZ_{n}Y_{j}} \\ \vdots \\ e^{iY_{j}} \\ e^{iY_{j}} \\ \vdots \\ Z_{n}^{s} e^{-iZ_{n}X_{j}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{W} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{j}, \quad \overline{V} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{2Z_{n}},$$

$$\Sigma_{W} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (W_{j} - \overline{W})(W_{j} - \overline{W})^{T}, \quad and \quad \Sigma_{V} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (V_{j} - \overline{V})(V_{j} - \overline{V})^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{2Z_{n} \times 2Z_{n}}.$$
Then for

Then, for

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 := \begin{bmatrix} \overline{V} \\ \overline{W} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_W & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_V \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{V} \\ \overline{W} \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\hat{S}_n - \langle p, q \rangle_{H^s}}{\hat{\sigma}_n}\right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Proof: Let

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{p}_{Z_n} &:= \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{p}(-Z_n) \\ \widetilde{p}(-Z_n+1) \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{p}(Z_n-1) \\ \widetilde{p}(Z_n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widehat{p}_{Z_n} &:= \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{p}(-Z_n) \\ \widehat{p}(-Z_n+1) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{p}(Z_n-1) \\ \widehat{q}(Z_n-1) \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{q}(Z_n-1) \\ \widetilde{q}(Z_n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{q} &:= \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{q}(-Z_n) \\ \widehat{q}(-Z_n+1) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{q}(Z_n-1) \\ \widehat{q}(Z_n) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Also let

$$\sigma_n^2 := \left(\nabla h\left(\tilde{p}_{Z_n}, \tilde{q}_{Z_n}\right)\right)' \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_p & 0\\ 0 & \Sigma_q \end{bmatrix} \left(\nabla h\left(\tilde{p}_{Z_n}, \tilde{q}_{Z_n}\right)\right),$$

where, $h: \mathbb{R}^{2Z_n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2Z_n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $h(x, y) = \sum_{z=-Z_n}^{Z_n} z^{2s} x_z y_{-z}$. By the bias bound and the assumption $Z_n^{4(s-s')} n \to \infty$, it suffices to show

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\hat{S}_n - \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{S}_n \right]}{\sigma_n} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
(15)

Since \hat{p}_{Z_n} and \hat{q}_{Z_n} are empirical means of bounded random vectors with means \tilde{p}_{Z_n} and \tilde{q}_{Z_n} , respectively, by the central limit theorem, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{p}_{Z_n} - \widetilde{p}_{Z_n}) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{n} (\hat{q}_{Z_n} - \widetilde{q}_{Z_n}) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_q),$$

where

$$(\Sigma_p)_{w,z} := \operatorname{Cov}_{X \sim p} \left(\psi_w(X), \psi_z(X) \right) \quad \text{ and } \quad (\Sigma_q)_{w,z} := \operatorname{Cov}_{X \sim q} \left(\psi_w(X), \psi_z(X) \right).$$

(15) follows by the delta method.

Theorem 5. (Asymptotic Null Distribution) Suppose that, for some $s' > 2s + \frac{D}{4}$, $p, q \in H^{s'}$, and suppose $Z_n n^{\frac{1}{4(s-s')}} \to \infty$ and $Z_n n^{-\frac{1}{4s+D}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, define

$$W_{j} := \begin{bmatrix} Z_{n}^{s} \left(e^{iZ_{n}X_{j}} - e^{iZ_{n}Y_{j}} \right) \\ \vdots \\ e^{iX_{j}} - e^{iY_{j}} \\ e^{-iX_{j}} - e^{-iY_{j}} \\ \vdots \\ Z_{n}^{s} \left(e^{-iZ_{n}X_{j}} - e^{-iZ_{n}Y_{j}} \right) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2Z_{n}}.$$

Let

$$\overline{W} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_j \quad and \quad \Sigma := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(W_j - \overline{W} \right) \left(W_j - \overline{W} \right)^T$$

denote the empirical mean and covariance of W, and define $T := n \overline{W}^T \Sigma^{-1} \overline{W}$. Then, if p = q, then

$$Q_{\chi^2(2Z_n)}(T) \xrightarrow{D} \text{Uniform}([0,1]) \quad as \quad n \to \infty,$$

where $Q_{\chi^2(2Z_n)}: [0,\infty) \to [0,1]$ denotes the quantile function (inverse CDF) of the χ^2 distribution $\chi^2(2Z_n)$ with $2Z_n$ degrees of freedom.

Proof: Since, as shown in the proof of the previous theorem, the distance estimate is a sum of squared asymptotically normal, zero-mean random variables, this is a standard result in multivariate statistics. See, for example, Theorem 5.2.3 of Anderson [2003].

3 Generalizations: Weak and Fractional Derivatives

As mentioned in the main text, our estimator and analysis can be generalized nicely to non-integer *s* using an appropriate notion of fractional derivative.

For non-negative integers s, let $\delta^{(s)}$ denote the measure underlying of the s-order derivative operator at 0; that is, $\delta^{(s)}$ is the distribution such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\delta^{(s)}(x)\,dx = f^{(s)}(0),$$

for all test functions $f \in H^s$. Then, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$, the Fourier transform of $\delta^{(s)}$ is

$$\widetilde{\delta}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-izx} \delta^{(s)}(x) \, dx = (-iz)^s.$$

Thus, we can naturally generalize the derivative operator $\delta^{(s)}$ to general $s \in [0, \infty)$ as the inverse Fourier transform of the function $z \mapsto (-iz)^s$. Generalization to differentiation at an arbitrary $y \in \mathbb{R}$ follows from translation properties of the Fourier transform, and, in multiple dimensions, for $s \in \mathbb{R}^D$, we can consider the inverse Fourier transform of $z \in \mathbb{R}^D \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^D (iz_i)^{s_i}$.

With this definition in place, we can prove the following the Convolution Theorem, which equates a particular weighted convolution of Fourier transforms and a product of particular fractional derivatives. Note that we will only need this result in the case that f is a trigonometric polynomial (i.e., \tilde{f} has finite support), because we apply it only to p_n and q_n . Hence, the sum below has only finitely many non-zero terms and commutes freely with integrals.

Proposition 6. Suppose $p, q \in L^2$ are trigonometric polynomials. Then, $\forall s \in [0, \infty)$, and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^D$,

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^D} z^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y-z) \widetilde{q}(z) = \left(\widetilde{p^{(s)}q^{(s)}}\right)(y).$$

Proof: By linearity of the integral,

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{D}} z^{2s} \widetilde{p}(y-z) \widetilde{q}(z) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{D}} z^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} p(x_{1}) e^{-i\langle y-z,x_{1} \rangle} dx_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} q(x_{2}) e^{-i\langle z,x_{2} \rangle} dx_{2}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} p(x_{1})q(x_{2}) e^{-i\langle y,x_{1} \rangle} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^{D}} z^{2s} e^{i\langle z,x_{1}-x_{2} \rangle} dx_{1} dx_{2}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} p(x_{1})q(x_{2}) e^{-i\langle y,x_{1} \rangle} \delta^{(s)}(x_{1}-x_{2}) dx_{1} dx_{2}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} p^{(s)}(x)q^{(s)}(x) e^{-i\langle y,x \rangle} dx = (\widetilde{p^{(s)}q^{(s)}})(y).$$

4 Additional Experimental Results

Figure 1 presents results of one additional experiment showing the effect of increasing s on the convergence rate. In all four cases, we estimate squared distance of the density $p(x) = 1 + \cos(2\pi x)$ from the uniform density (both on the interval [0, 1]), but we vary s, such that the distance is computed according to different metrics. As s increases, the bias of the estimator increases, due to greater weight on higher frequencies of the density that are omitted by the truncated estimator.



Figure 1: Estimated and true s-order Sobolev distances between the density $p(x) = 1 + \cos(2\pi x)$ and the uniform density, for $s \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

References

TW Anderson. An introduction to multivariate statistical analysis. Wiley, 2003.

Bradley Efron and Charles Stein. The jackknife estimate of variance. *The Annals of Statistics*, pages 586–596, 1981.

Lawrence C Evans. Partial differential equations. American Mathematical Society, 2010.

Franz Rellich. Ein satz über mittlere konvergenz. Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse, 1930:30–35, 1930.